8902

மதுரை மாவட்டத்
ஆட்சித் துறை

8902

101

List of Retainable papers for 1875. Board file.

Received Letters. **Madura Collectors' Office.**

[illegible] 1 8902/30034

Date.	Number.	From whom received.	Substance.
11		Secretary	Desiring him to proceed in the enquiry in which he was engaged when the petition from Alagherry Naik relative to the Nattamugarship of the village of Kerumiany was referred to him and to report the result to the Board.
		Do.	[illegible] Extract from the Register of petitions referred to him in the month of October last.
		Do.	Informing that the Board see no reason for the continuance to the petitioner in No. 797 of 1834 of the zeomiah enjoyed by his adoptive father which appears also to have been a life zeomiah.
			[illegible]

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. Ma[illegible] Collector's Office.

Date.	Number.	From whom received.	Substance.
			into effect the arrangements proposed for the increased Inspecks of the Pagodas of Annamalay and Thooroomboor. (Not found in the file).
22/1	"	Secretary	Authorizing the grant of waste land assessed at Rs 76.8.10 per annum to 3 Caval[illegible] stationed for the p[illegible] of the Covilpaty chattra[illegible]
26/1	"	Do.	Transmitting for his report a petition [illegible] the Vakeel Nalla[illegible] Pillai and state[illegible] it appears from [illegible] documents accompa[illegible] it that Nallathambi Pillai is the Mirassi Curnam of the Meenat[illegible] Pagoda and not [illegible]

Date.	Number.	From whom received.	Substance. 2
			reported by him. Calling for further information on this subject and also on certain other points regarding the office of Curnum in the above Pagoda.
29/1	"	Secretary	Transmitting an extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 13th January 1838 resolving that employment be given to military Pensioners as peons in public offices.
12/2	"	Do.	Transmitting an extract from the Register of petitions referred to him in the month of November last.
16/2	"	Do.	Approving of his appointing Allagherry Naik to the vacant office of Natamkar of the village of Tirumanickam in addition to the 2 Brahmins

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Date.	Number.	From whom received.	Substance.
			Nattamkars who already stand appointed and of his rejecting the claim of Chinna Mootoo Naik.
26/2	"	Secretary	Approving of his having declined to restore Chinna Mootoo Caruppa Pillai to the office of Curnam of the village of Thodanairy and stating that Subba Pillai should be appointed to the office if competent to do the duty.
2/3	"	Do.	Desiring him to explain more fully how the right of Dorosamy Appiah Naik to succeed to the

(2)

List of Retainable papers for 1835

Received Letters. Madura Collectors' Office.

Date.	Number.	From whom received.	Substance.
			ten per cent allowance of the late zemindar of Cummi=wady is grounded.
2/3	"	Secretary	Stating that the explanation afforded by him have satisfied the Board that Nallathambia Pillai is not the Mirassi Curnam of the Meenatchi Pagoda and calling for explanation regarding the circumstance of Aria Badra Pillai having been guilty of misconduct since his reinstatement in office.
6/3	"	Do.	Continuing Memorial No. 1 to Gulam Mobbee (Not found in the File).
23/3	"	Do. —	Transmitting an extract from the Register of petitions referred to him in the month of December last.
14	"	Do. —	Approving the principal

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Date.	Number.	From whom received.	Substance.
			Collector's explanation and confirming the order passed by the Board upon this subject in their letter of the 25th August last.
13/4	"	Secretary Do	Calling on the Collector to ascertain, and report the actual state of the concubines of the late Rajah of Ramnad and their children and families and to state what he considers the least proper and reasonable maintenance for them.
20/4	"	Do	Transmitting petition No 203 of 1835 and desiring to know whether the building erected in garden therein alluded to was ever used for purposes of public charity or convenience and if so, why on the circumstance

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. Madura Collectors' Office.

Date.	Number.	From whom received.	Substance. 4
			of the garden having been mortgaged being brought to his notice he declined to take measures.
23/4	"	Secretary	Transmitting an extract from the Register of petitions referred to him in the month of February last.
30/4	"	Do.—	Requesting to report at what period since the agitation of the subject in question (Rameswaram claims to pearl fisheries) there have been fisheries and to what extent the previleges formerly enjoyed by the Pagodas have been allowed during them and to forward the agreements entered into with the renters of the ninthe Boats and to explain particularly whether the rent of the previlege of fishing was granted to the renter during the whole

List of Retainable papers for 18 .

Received Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Date.	Name.	From whom received.	Subject.
			period of the fishery or for a fixed period.
18/5	"	Secretary	Informing that when an appeal addressed to the Board is presented to the Principal Collector by a party sentenced by the Sub Collector and the Principal Collector on reviewing the Proceedings does not think it proper to grant the redress prayed for, it is indispensible that the instructions under date 11th August 1831 be acted upon, as the Board are obliged in that case to form their own judgment.
25/5	"	Do.	Informing that the question at issue between the Zemindar of Ramnad and

List of Retainable papers for 1835.

Received Letters. Madura Collectors' Office.

Date.	Number.	From whom received:	Substance 5
			the Pandaram of Rameswaram Pagoda is still under the consideration of the Board whose decision will be communicated to him as soon as passed.
25/5	"	Secretary	Requesting to procure and forward to them a copy of the Sentence of the ~~circ~~ circuit court in the case in which the Pandaram of the Rameswaram Pagoda was kept in confinement and to furnish any other information that may appear to him necessary.
25/5		Do.	Transmitting an extract from the Register of petitions referred to him for the month of March last.
28/5	"	Do.	Requesting to inform the Board of the exact nature of the tenure (possession by an individual of lands belonging to Karanam ambalam miras[illegible] particularly explaining whether

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Date.	Number.	From whom received.	Substance.
			the title to such lands is an official right or previlege according to the declaration in the preamble of Regulation VI of 1831 or whether they are a private property having no connection with any office and whether they pay the full or reduced tax.
29/5	"	Secretary	Conveying the sanction of government for the continuance of the pension recommended to Dorosamy Appiah Naik.
1/6	"	Do.	Requesting that the petition No. 288 of 1835 regarding claims to participation in certain zeemah allowance may be submitted to the Board for disposal.
11/6	"	Do.	Authorizing to grant waste lands according to

Date.	Number.	From whom received.	Substance.
			to the statement which accompanied his letter dated 29. 5. 35 to the inamd[ars] in lieu of those formerly held by them.
12/6	"	Secretary	Extracts from the minutes of Consultation regarding the expediency of enacting the law suggested for legalizing the alienation of the emoluments and office of Patel.
18/6	"	Do.	Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue relative to the settlement of the boundary dispute,
18/6	"	Do.	Desiring to restore to the petitioner (Karuppayee, Riv[er] umbalam mirrasidar of Pootoor in the Shevagungah zemindari) her share of the umbalam
23/6	"	Do.	Conveying the sanction of Government for allotting 3 cawnie a — 5 1/4 of waste lands assessed at Rs 14.7.– per annum as a maniem to the village watcher of

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Date	Number	From whom received.	Substance
			Solavandan.
25/6	"	Secretary	Transmitting for report Copy of an extract from the minutes of Consultation regarding the expediency of introducing Certain arrangements for employing pensioners from the Army for the performance of Civil Duties within the Madras Presidency.
25/6	"	Do —	Transmitting an extract from the Register of petitions referred to him in the month of April last.
	"	Do —	Conveying Sanction of the Government for the appropriation of a piece of ground assessed at Rs 60 per annum for the support of the choultry lately completed at the village of Coulpatty in the Marungapuri Taluq

List of Retainable papers for 1835.

Received Letters. Madura Collectors' Office.

7

Number.	From whom received.	Substance. 13
	Secretary	Concurring in the opinion expressed by the Principal Collector in the 2nd para of his report to government on petition 283 of 1835 submitted under date 28th April last and authorizing him to dispose of the case accordingly
	Do —	Desiring the principal Collector to make such arrange=ments as may appear most likely to conduce to the proper Superintendance and due appro=priation of the surplus funds of the Rameswaram Pagoda. (Not found in the file).
	Do —	Requesting to state whether the Petitioner Ponnammal belonging to the family of the Saidupathi is in any great distress and whether he can recommend that any portion of the resumed pension be granted for her support.
	Do	[illegible]

List of Retainable papers for 18 .

Received Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Date.	Number.	From whom received.	Substance.
			on the State of the ... of the late Ramasami ... Connected with the ... of the deposed Raja ... Ramnad.
28/8	"	Secretary	Conveying the Sanction of the India government for the payment of ... per mensem to the ... of Muthu Ramalinga ... the deposed Zemindar ... Ramnad
31/8	"	Do.	Transmitting an ... from the Register of pa... referred to him ... month of June last ...
3/9	"	Do.	Informing that the ... are of opinion that the ... of the curnam ... being contrary to the ... of Section V Regulati... of 1802 and requesting ... communicate this ... to the manager ... Zemindari.
8/9	"	Do.	Conveying the ...

List of Retainable papers for 18

...ived Letters. Madura Collectors' Office.

Number.	From whom received.	Substance. 8 15
		of Government for accepting the Surrender of the Edracottah zemindari and to assign to him (Poligar) ten per cent of the net revenue and also suggesting to assess his private lands.
"	Secretary	Boards' Proceedings on the Settlement of the land Revenue for fasties 1242 & 1243.
"	Do.	Conveying the sanction of government for continuing to Ponnammal during her life the sum of Rs 15 per mensem from the pension of her late mother-in-law.
"	Do.	Transmitting an extract from the Register of petitions referred to him in the month of July last

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. Madura Collector's Office

Date.	Number.	From whom received.	Substance.
15/10	"	Secretary	Requesting to strictly prohibit the practice of attaching in the ~~th~~ first instance for arrears, the personal property of the zemindars instead of the crops.
15/10	"	Do.—	Drawing attention to the suggestions of Mr Russell respecting the education of minors contained in the 154th para of his report on Kemedy
29/10	"	Do.—	Conveying the observations of Government on the settlement of the land Revenue for fuslies 1242 and 1243.

List of Retainable papers for 1835

Received Letters. Madura Collectors' Office.

Date.	Number.	From whom received.	Substance.
3/11	"	Secretary	Declining to make provisions for the widow of the former Zemindar of Shevagangah.
10/11	"	Do.	Extract from the minutes of Consultation relative to the Settlement of boundary and water disputes.
12/11	"	Do.	Conveying sanction for carrying into effect the measure proposed in the Collector's letter of the 12th ultimo. (not found in the file
12/11	"	Do.	Transmitting an extract from the Register of petitions referred to him in August last.
16/11	"	Do.	Calling opinion on

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Date.	Number.	From whom received.	Substance.
			the propriety of placing the heirs of Moveconda Row in the possession of Numbipuram &c 5 villages. (Not found in the file).
7/12	"	Secretary	Informing that the Supreme Government has declined to grant a pension to the 3 widows of the late ex Zemindar of Sheragangah and requesting to induce the present Zemindar to make suitable provisions for the petitioners who appear to be in great distress.
26/12	"	Do	Directing to make over the villages of Numbipuram &c

List of Retainable papers for 18

Received Letters. Madura Collectors' Office.

Date.	Number.	From whom received:	Substance. 10 ... 14
			to the grandsons of Vencata Row, the apparent heirs of Moocoonda Row (Not found in the file)
21/12	"	Secretary	Transmitting an extract from the Register of petitions referred to him in the month of September last.

14
2943
71

Index

Date	Subject	Page
1835. 5th January	Re. Calling for further report into the respective Claims of the Nattamcar of Tiroomaneecom	1
5th Do.	Endorsement on Petition No. 746 of 1834	3
1834. 30th Decr.	Sanctioning disbursement of Rupees 35. on Account of Additional writers	7
1835. 12th January	Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsements	11
12th Do.	Re. Do. Register of Petitions for October	13
15th Do.	Returning Estimate of Tawderwared with the Orders of Government and directing any increase should be fully explained	[illegible]
19th Do.	Re. Declining to Continue the Yeomiah of deceased Chetty Vencatasoyar	[illegible]
19th Do.	Re. Authorizing to carry into effect the arrangements proposed for the increased Tusdeeks of the Pagodas of Aunamalay and Thoorcomboor	23
20th Do.	Re. Authorizing waste land assessed at P 5. 10 pr. Annum to Cavalgars Stationed for the protection of the Covilpatty Chuttrom	29
9th Do.	Authorizing to comply with requisitions from the Court for the refund of Monies received from them	33
26th Do.	Re. Calling for further report how the Surplus proceeds of the Village of Moottan-colum granted to the Carnom of Meenatchee Davustanum have been disposed of	37
29th Do.	Re. Resolving that employment be given to Military Pensioners as Peons in public Offices	[illegible]
2. February	Authorizing Confiscation of Smuggled Salt seized in November	53
5th Do.	Do. Employment of 3 Gomastahs for ascertaining the amount of remission Claimed by the Sayer Renters on Account of duty on fresh dry fish	61
12th Do.	Re. Transmitting Register of Petitions for November	63
12th Do.	Do. Petitions bearing Endorsements by the Board	67
12th Do.	Sanctioning Tuccavey for fusly 1243	69
16th Do.	Declining Authority for the purchase of Grain for the Projects of the Pagodas and Chuttrums	71
16th Do.	Sanctioning Extra tent allowance for fusly 1243	73
16th Do.	Relative to the entries to be made in the Demand Collection & Balance	79
16th Do.	Re. Approving the appointment of Alleghery Naig to be Nattamcar Tiroomaneecom	83
19th Do.	Disallowing the exemption of Tree tax to the Garden at Kodaday belonging to Ramayee Row	
7th April	Granting Authority for writing off 42 Cavees 369. Mercals & 4½ Measures of Salt	
23. Feby.	Forwarding 7000 Salt Rowanahs	

		Page
	Continuing Jeremiah No. 1 to Gentoo Nabbee ... not found	103.
	Calling for Report relative to the Services of Tinnevelly Vencatrow	104.
Do.	Approving the Appointment of Soobapillay to the Office of Curnum of Thodanairy Village	106.
Do.	Sanctioning Charges not Estimated but actually incurred for Fusly 1243.	110.
March	Calling for further Report on the right of Derasawmy Appiah Naig to Succeed to the Allowance granted to the late Zemindar of Cunnywady	116.
	Calling for further Explanation on the case of Nullatomby apilley Curnum of Munatchee Pagoda	120.
	Sanctioning remuneration to Narrain Row Head Javebnovees of the Sub Collector	128.
March	Authorizing disbursement of 6000 Rs. for the purchase of Grain for the use of the prisoners	131.
Do.	Enquiring on what date & Manner the rules regarding Grain imported at Madras were published in this District	135.
Do.	Forwarding Extract from the Minutes of Consultation relative to Tent Allowance to Assistant Collectors	137.
	Sanctioning Contingent Charges from 1st. October to 31st. December 1834.	143.
	Desiring to apply through the Board for the Supply of Bark for Sale	149
	Declining Advance for the purpose of laying up a Store of Grain for the Poojahs of Certain Pagodas and Chuttrums	157
	Authorizing Confiscation of Smuggled Salt seized in January	161.
	Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsements	163.
	Forwarding Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Authorizing Criminal Judges to draw on Collectors for the Sums they may require for the purchase of Grain	165.
	Proceedings on the Management of the Extrasources for Fusly 1243.	173.
	Transmitting Register of Petitions for December	189.
	Directing to Number all letters to the Board	193.
	Directing the Monthly Demand Collection & Balance be accompanied by a Memorandum Shewing the Balance of land Revenue	195.
	Pointing out Discrepancy in the Balances of Extra revenue of fusly 1242	199
	Calling for Copy & translation of the Jummahbundy Hookumnamah and Cowlnamah for the Current Years	203.
	Directing Attention of the Officers of Customs at the Sea Ports to the Sections of the Acts indicating the Nature of the Certificates of Clearances.	205.

(12)

1835.

2^d^ April	Confirming the Restoration of the Village of Mootavecolum to Anathrapillay	2[illegible]
7th D^o^	Directing to furnish on the 5th of each Month a Register of Endorsements made upon Petitions	211.
9th D^o^	Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsements	213.
29th May	Sanctioning Pension to Dorasawmy Appiah Naig	216.
13th April	Calling for report on the Actual state of the Concubines of the late Rajah of Ramnad	219
31st March	Sanctioning Extra Charges proposed for the Jummahbundy Settlement of Fusly 1244	227.
31st D^o^	Ditto Moyenzabitah	231
20th April	Calling for a Statement of Estates under Management for the 12 Years preceding the Current Fusly	2[illegible]
20th D^o^	Authorizing the expenditure at the Terumongalam Chuttrum being increased by 1½ R^s^ P^r^ diem	2[illegible]
20th D^o^	Enquiring whether the Tomb or building in the Killadars Garden were used purposes of public Charity	24[illegible]
20th D^o^	Calling for a list of Services of Tinnevelly Vencata Row	24[illegible]
20th D^o^	Calling for Accounts &c^a^ referring to the Dindigul Survey	2[illegible]
1st May	Sanctioning Magisterial Charges in Fusly 1243	2[illegible]
23^d^ April	Forwarding Register of Petitions for February	25[illegible]
27th D^o^	Directing to Invite proposals for the rent of the Sayer & Abkary for Fusly 1245	26[illegible]
5th May	Authorizing to remit to the Joint Criminal Judge of Masulipatam the sum advanced a Police Peon	26[illegible]
27th April	Desiring to ascertain whether duty has been levied on the Goods destined for Malabar if Not to demand it from Mr Jones	26[illegible]
27th D^o^	Calling for report on the State of the Regulations in this Office	27[illegible]
30th D^o^	Enquiring in whose Charge & what State of repair now are the House of Colonel Lennon	27[illegible]
30th D^o^	Enquiring at what periods there have been pearl fisheries and to what Extent the priveleges have been allowed to the Pagodas	[illegible]
6th May	Desiring to furnish drafts of advertisements inviting tenders for the supply of Boats &c^a^ for Official Reports for One year	
15th D^o^	Sanctioning payment to Mr Wroughton the undrawn allowance of Acting Principal Collector	
4th D^o^	Returning Petition for delivery	

Re Relative to appeals - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 297.
Do. Restricting the Subrenting of a Chowkee & directing that a provision be inserted in Clause 10 of the Cowle - - - - - - - - - - - - 301.
Do. Preferring to leave the Shevagunga Zemindary under the Management of the Zemindar upon an engagement to pay the balance of Peshcush by Instalments & calling Collectors opinion on the Subject - - - - - - 305
Do. Enquiring what has been done regarding Mr. Jones's Bond - - - - - - 309.
Do. Notifying that the question at issue between the Zemindar of Ramnad and the Pundarom of the Ramaiswerum Pagoda is still under the consideration of the Board - - - - - - - - - - - - 311.
Do. Enquiring the Circumstances under which the Pundarum of Ramdeswerum was Confined & requesting for Copy of the Sentence of the Circuit Court - 315
Do. Directing that all Petitions requiring report by endorsement Should be returned so endorsed under blank Covers - - - - - - - - - 319.
Do. Forwarding Register of Petitions for March - - - - - - - - - 323.
Do. Transmitting form for Magisterial Charges - - - - - - - - - 329.
Do. Enquiring the right or previlige of a Kauranah Ambalom Meerassy - - - 333.
Do. Granting Authority for writing off the irrecoverable balances of Sayer and Abkary from fusly 1233 to 1241 - - - - - - - - - - 340.
Re Calling for Petition No. 293 of 1835 for disposal - - - - - - - 343.
Do. Notifying that a fine of double the sum proved is the Maximum of punishment & that it Should be inflicted only in cases of a very aggravated Nature - - - - - - - - - - - - - 345.
Do. Enquiring whether there is any deficiency in small silver Coins or in Copper Coins in Circulation in this District - - - - - - - - 349.
Do. Authorizing grant of land assessed at 60 Rupees per Annum for the Support of the Choultry at Covilputty - - - - - - - - 352.
Forwarding Petitions bearing endorsements - - - - - - - - - 355.
Declining to grant the Charge of Costly belts for Duffadars - - - - - 357.
Authorizing the grant of waste lands to Enamdars in lieu of those formerly held by them - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 361.
Do. Authorizing to allow the Sum of Rs. 40,000 of the present years Peshcush of the Shevagungah Zemindary to stand over as a special indulgence 365.
Do. Granting Authority for alloting waste land assessed at 14. 7. 2. to the Village Watcher at Sholavundan - - - - - - - - - - 368.
Do. Sanctioning remission to V. E. Mercoir for the days he was prevented from fishing 371.

1835.

June. Accepting Offers for the Sayer and Abkary Rents for fusly 1245 ... 375
18th Do. Proceedings relative to the Settlement of boundary Disputes ... 379
18th Do. Desiring to restore to Curpoye her share of the Ambalum ... 387
18th Aug. Granting an allowance to the widows of the deposed Zemindar of Ramnad ... 394
22d June. Explaining the Orders passed in Subrenting the Choukies ...
25th Do. Regarding the Employment of pensioners from the Army for performance of Civil duties ... 405
25th Do. Register of Petitions for April ... 419
25th Do. Sanctioning Charges on Account of Stampt Paper Supplied gratis to public servants ... 42
26th Do. Regarding fines ...
29th Do. Forwarding New forms of Cowle to Abkary Renters ...
12th Do. Regarding the expediency of enacting the Law Suggested for legalizing the alienation of the Emoluments and office of Patel ... 449
26th Do. Sanctioning Advances for Cultivation for fusly 1245 ... 471
2d July. Do. Contingent Charges from 1st January to 31st March 1835 ...
3d Do. Regulating Batta of Native Dressers Employed in the Civil Department ...
6th Do. Notifying that there can be no objection to the preparation of bills if the parties for whom they are intended be willing to pay for them ... 473
9th Do. Concurring in the opinion expressed by the Principal Collector that the Petitioner has not proved his exclusive right to the Yeomiah ... 48
13th Do. Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsements ... 48
16th Do. Approving the Addendum ... 48
16th Do. Declining to grant the Head Sheristadar increase of Salary
14th Do. Sanctioning Extra Charges proposed for fusly 1244 ...
20th Do. Calling for report upon the Official qualifications of the Subordinates
29th Do. Resolving that the Goods Manufactured by Prisoners which are entered Tariff shall be Subject to duty
30th Do. Confirming the final settlement of the Sayer & Abkary rent for fusly
30th Do. Desiring the Principal Collector to make such arrangements as may most likely to conduce to the proper Superintendance and appropriation of the Surplus funds of the Ramaiswerum Pagoda ...
30th Do. Enquiring whether Ponnamaul one of the family of Saidoobathee is in distress and worthy of a Pension ...
14th Aug. Granting Sanction for writing off the difficiency of 39 Mercals of Salt
6th Do. Calling for a list of Unanswered letters ...
5th Do. Calling for a report on the State of the family of the late Ramasawmy Iyer

1835 Augt Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsements .... 529.

Do. Acceding to the Request of the Cunnguvady Zemindar to pay the Amount due to Government for fusly 1237 by three instalments .... 533.

July. Directing to effect the Collection of the yearly Revenue within the fusly .... 535.

Augt. Reminding that the Demand Collection and Balance Statement have not been received .... 539.

Do. Calling Report on the Petition from Certain Inhabitants of Pullemadum Talook Complaining the loss from the Rate of Conversion into the Current Coin .... 543.

Septr. Accepting the Surrender of the Eddiacottah Zemindary and assigning an Allowance of ten perCent of the Net Revenue of the Estate .... 553.

R. Augt. Forwarding Register of Petitions for June .... 557.

R. Septr. Opinion that the dismissal of the Curnum was illegal being Contrary to Section V Regulation XXIX of 1802 .... 563.

R. Do. Notifying that the Collector of Customs at Jaffna has been directed to furnish Monthly a list of Rowannahs delivered to persons importing Goods into Ceylon .... 567.

Do. Directing to warn the Pundarum to watch the future Conduct of the Parties Accused of embezzlement by the Petitioner .... 572.

Do. Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsements .... 575.

Do. Granting Authority for continuing to Ponnummaul during her life 15 Rupees Per Mensem .... 577.

R. Do. Proceedings on the Settlement of the land Revenue in the Madura District for Fuslies 1242 & 1243 .... 579.

Observing that the Reasons assigned for declining to enter into any investigation in Petition No. 1128 of 1834 are not considered Satisfactory .... 631.

Sanctioning Extra tent allowance for fusly 1244 .... 635.

Granting Authority for writing off 367 Mercals & 6½ Measures of Salt .... 640.

Directing to issue a licence for dealing in foreign Spirits under the provisions of Section V Regulation I of 1820 .... 643.

Forwarding Register of Petitions for July .... 647.

Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsements .... 653.

... the Subject of promulgating the Regulations of Government .... 655.

Directing to furnish the Medical Board a Statement of Medical Servants together with a Report from the Nearest European Medical Officer the Professional abilities of each Practitioner .... 659.

Desiring that the rules for passing Goods should be made as easy to Merchants and that no burdensome innovation should be introduced without the Authority of the Board .... 663.

1835. 14

Date	Subject	Page
15th October (32)	Prohibiting the practise of Attaching in the first instance for Arrears the personal property of Zemindars	667
15th Do.	Enjoying Collectors to make a tour of the Zemindaries & forward an annual report on the state of them	676
15th Do.	Regarding Treasure Extracts	680
15th Do.	Respecting the Education of Minors	684
22d (33) Do.	Calling for a few Original Sunnuds issued for Medical Service Yeomiahs	694
26th Do.	Sanctioning Contingent Charges &ca.	696
29th (34) Do.	Minutes of Consultation relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue of Madura for Fuslies 1242 & 1243	698
29th Do.	Authority for Adjusting the sum of Rupees 85-3. under the Head of Tank repairs	706
5th (35) Do.	Declining to grant a Pension to the Widows of the former Zemindar of Shevagungah	708
10th Nov.	Relative to the Settlement of boundary Disputes	712
12th (36) Do.	Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsements	720
12th (37) Do.	Conveying Sanction for carrying into effect the Measure proposed in Collectors letter of the 12th Ultimo — Not found	722
12th (38) Do.	Forwarding Register of Petitions for August	726
1[illegible]th Do.	Sanctioning Contingent Charges	730
1[illegible]th (39) Do.	Calling Opinion on the propriety of placing the Heirs of Moocoonda Row in possession of Numbeeporum &ca. 5 Villages — Not found	732
19th Do.	Forwarding ten Printed Forms of Extra Revenue Statements	735
23d Do.	Calling for Abstract Statement of Persons Charged with Offences	73[illegible]
24th Do.	Calling for Quarterly Returns Shewing the places & Talooks at which the Collectors & Subordinates have been staying on every day	74[illegible]
26th Do.	Sanctioning Arrangement proposed	
26th Do.	Calling for report on the practicability and expendency of renting the Sea Customs	
26th Do.	Regarding Drawbacks	
4th Decr.	Granting Authority for writing off the Arrears of the Shotrium Vill[age] of Mooleepaudy	
4th Do.	Sanctioning Charges not Estimated in fusly 1244	
7th Do.	Notifying that the Board will be prepared to take into Considera[tion] proposals for the repair of Old works of irrigation	7[illegible]
7th Do.	Desiring to interchange Monthly lists of Port Clearances with the Several Collectors of His Majestys Customs in Ceylon	7[illegible]
7th Do.	Appointment of a Davustanom Peshcar in the District of Madura	7[illegible]
7th (40) Do.	Declining to Grant a pension to the 3 Widows of the late Zemr. of Shevagung[ah]	7[illegible]
7th Do.	Granting Authority for defending a Suits at the public Expence	
[illegible] Do.	Returning Petition No. 5 of 1835 for disposal	

	Page
Do. Sanctioning Magisterial Charges for July 1844	786
Do. Forwarding Petitions bearing Endorsements	792.
Do. Authorizing the Charge of Interest at 6 per Cent on the balances of Sayer & AbKary	794.
Do. Directing to make over the Villages of Numbepoorum to the Grandsons of Vencata Row the Apparent Heirs of Mookoonda Row.	798.
Do. Forwarding Register of Petitions for September	802.
Do. Directing Appeal petition Accompany the Original proceedings within three Weeks Accompanied by a letter Containing Remarks	807.
Do. Submitting Form for quarterly Statements of Collectors returns in the Talooks	810.
Do. Sanction for writing off the balance of Peshcush & Sibbundy Charges of the Eddiacottah Zemindary	815.

R
R
[illegible]

Revenue Department.

15

Re.

To

The Principal Collector of

Madura

Sir

Para 1 - I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowlidge the receipt of your letter of the 2d. Ultimo reporting on a Petition from

No. 746 of 1834 - Alagherry Naick in which he com:plains that Kalamgars stand appointed to the Village of Teroo:mary in detriment to the right of himself and another to the exclusive possession of the office.

2 The Board think it proper that you should proceed

in

2.

in the enquiry in which from Para 3.
of your letter it appears that you
were engaged when Petition No 746
of 1834 was referred to you and that
you should report the result to the
Board. The Village perhaps does
not require nor may the emoluments
of the office suffice to remunerate
fully so many as 4 Kattangars
and you will be pleased to state
how many you would propose to
appoint and who of the candidates
you consider to have the preferable
claims.

3 The following Endorsement
has been passed on the Petition

Rev. Board Office
Fort St. George
5th January 1835.
B. 7 B

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obed^t Servt

A. Waite

Dy. Secretary

31

Endorsement on Petition No 746. Re.
of 1834.-

Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Madura who has been instructed to make an enquiry into the respective claims of the Candidates for the office of Nataimgar of the Village in question-

Rev. Board office
Fort St George
5th January 1835.

(By order of the Board of Rev.)
(Signed) R. T. Porter
Dy Secretary-

(True Copy)

R.T.Porter
Dy. Secretary

Comp. W. Ritchie

16

33

Revenue Department.

To

The Collector of

Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826, to transmit for your information an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of October last.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

R. Boyle

Depy. Secretary.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
12 January 1835.
No. 24

Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of October 1834

No. of the Petition	Name of the Petitioner	Purport of the Petition	Order of the Board
453	From Areyanayacom Pillay – Madura –	Complains that the Pundarum of Toros cannah Sammundah by false accusations having procured the dismissal of Petitioner's father from the Merassy Curnumship attached to his Chuttry – Mr. Viveash on enquiry ordered his reinstatement but his father died previous to the execution of the order and Petitioner cannot obtain possession of the office	Referred to the Principal Collector of Madura who will record such orders as he may pass on the case by endorsement on this petition. – 6th October 1834 –

True Extract/ E.

R Porter

Dy Secretary –

Compd P. Ritchie

17

35

21

To the Principal Collector of

Re. Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 20th Ultimo, and to inform you that they see no reason for the continuance to the Petitioner in No. 797 of 1834 of the Yeomiah enjoyed by his adoptive father, which appears also to have been a life Yeomiah.

The following Endorsement has been passed on the Petition.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

Revenue B. Office,
~~Fort~~ St. George,
19. January 1835

R. Potter
Dy. Secretary

Re

Endorsement on Petition No. 797 of 1834

It appears from a report by the Principal Collector of Madura that Petitioner is merely an adopted son of the deceased yeomiahdar Chitty Vencatasarayer, and moreover that the yeomiah enjoyed by the deceased was a life yeomiah. The Petitioner therefore has no claim that it should be continued to him.-

By Order of the B. of Rev.

Revenue B. Office,
Fort St. George,
19th January 1835

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Dy Secy.

(True Copy)

R T Porter
Dy Secretary

Compd. W. Ritchie

27

19

35

Revenue Department.

To

The Principal Collector

R.

of

Sir,

Madura

With reference to your letter of the 22d Ultimo, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for your information and guidance copies of the undermentioned letters.

To the Chief Secretary to Government — 8th January 1835

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation 20th Do —

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Office of the Board of Revenue

Fort Saint George,

22d January 1835

[signature]

Dy. Secretary

20

Revenue Department

Re

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir

Para 1 - I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Right Honourable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Madura requesting with reference to Sections 16 and 18 Regulation XI of 1816 that a piece of waste land measuring 113 - 12/4 Kooleys, assessed at Rs 76 - 5 . 10 per annum may be granted as enam for the maintenance of three Cavilgars to be stationed at Covilputty for the protection of travellers halting at a Chuttrum

22nd Decr 1834 -

lately

lately erected at that place by subscrip-
tion of the inhabitants of Thanthanoor –

2 The place at which this
Chuttrum is situated is represented
as containing few inhabitants, and
the neighbourhood in consequence
"insufficient to give protection to
"travellers on occasions of alarm of rob-
"bers who frequently commit depre-
"dations in consequence of the lone-
"someness of the place and its
"distance from the Village and
"bordering upon one of the Polli
"-puts" – Under these circumstan-
-ces the Board recommend that the
grant applied for by the Principal
Collector may be sanctioned

Rev. Board office
Fort St George
8th Jany. 1835 –

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Dy Secretary

Extrac

31

21

43

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th January 1835.

"The Governor in Council is pleased to authorize the proposed grant."

(Signed) D. Eliott
Offg. Secy. to Govt.

(True Copies)

[signature]
Dy. Secretary.

Compd. W. Ritchie

Revenue Department 37

22

245

To

The Principal Collector of
Madura

Re.

Sir,

To the Pl. Collr.
20th January 1834
From Do. 21st Apl. "
To Do. 8th May "
From Do. 6th Augt. "
To Do. 25th Do. "

Para 1. Adverting to the correspondence noted in the margin, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for your report the accompanying Petition from the Vakeel of Nullatombyapilly.

+ No. 1223 of 1834.

2. The authenticated Documents which accompany this petition appear to the Board to be quite at variance with the statement made in your letter of the 21st april last, that Nullatombyapilly was Gomastah only of the Curnum of the

Para 2.

the Meenatchee Pagoda and receives his allowance as Gomastah - Throughout - these Documents he is spoken of as the Meerassee Curnum of the Pagoda - In the Document No. 6 which is an Arzee from the Tahsildar of the Madacolum Talook in 1822 it is stated that Nullatombyapilly employed and paid - from his own private property a Gomastah for the Venganom closet besides other Gomastahs by which means he has all along conducted the duties of the Curnumship - In this Arzee, it is further mentioned that the difference in the Venganom Accounts is stated to have been occasioned by the Gomastah employed by "the Meerassy Curnum Nullatombyapilly" - In the Arzee from

the

the Tahsildar dated 24th January 1822 (No 7) he is styled throughout the Meerassee Curnum Nullatombyapilly - In Enclosure No 8, he is spoken of as the Curnum Nullatombyapilly, and his Gomastah as the person who caused the difference in the accounts - In the Collector's order to the Tahsildar dated the 2d February 1822 he is directed to dismiss the Gomastah of the Curnum Nullatombyapilly, and to fine the Curnum 12 Rupees - In the order of the Collector to the Hoozzoor Treasurer No 10 Nullatombyapilly is styled the Meerassy Curnum of the Pagoda - The Board cannot discover in the several Documents adverted to, any thing to lead to the belief that Nullatombyapilly

was

was only the Gomastah of the Curnum and performed the duties as such. He is spoken of throughout as the Meerassy Curnum, and as having himself employed Gomastahs to assist him in the discharge of the duties of his Curnumship, and they observe from the Collector's order to the Tahsildar dated the 3rd August 1830 he is directed to suspend the present Curnum Nullatomabyapilly to appoint Areeapatrapilly to act as Curnum, on furnishing security and to report, who, the said Areeapatrapilly is, and if he is actually the Meerassidar of the Curnumship – all the documents filed by the Petitioner, and which have been now noticed are duly authenticated,

and

and they appear to the Board fully to establish the incorrectness of their first impression, on which they rejected Nullatambyapillay's claim as communicated in their letter of the 8th. May last, namely that he was not the Meerassy Curnum, but only the Curnum's Gomastah - The Board request therefore that the discrepancy on this point in your letter of the 21st. April, and the Documents now forwarded may be explained. -

3. There are other points also on which explanation is necessary - you state that subsequently to the dismissal of Areeapatrapilly by Mr. Parish no one was appointed to perform the duties of Curnum whereas the

the Board find it stated in the Arzee of the Tahsildar of Madacolum dated the 22nd January 1822, that the Curnum who had been employed from the commencement of the Davastanum had been dismissed during the time of Mr Parish, and his own brother in law employed in his stead who has ever since held that situation.— Inct. No. 6

4. In your letter of the 6th August last you recommended the restoration to Areeapatrapilly of the Village of Mootakolum, but, the Board observe that in an Endorsement in May preceding on a Petition from Areeapatrapilly you state to the following effect, that the village has been assumed for 34 years that as the business

business of the Covil has been done during 14 years from the produce of the $\frac{6\frac{1}{2}}{16}$ Village, it does not now appear necessary to allow the village of Mosttacolum in addition to that, and it appears proper to allow the income of the village for the extra charges of the lamps &ca of the Covil. The Board request therefore that you will explain the particular grounds on which you recommended the restoration of the Village to Areeapatrapillay in your letter of the 6th August following.

5. In your letter of the 6th August you state that Areeapatrapillay was restored to his situation on the 18th February 1832, and not 1831 as formerly stated, but it appears from the

the Enclosure No. 14, which is an order from the Collector to the Tahsildar dated the 3d August 1830 that Areeapatrapillay was then appointed to act as Curnum, Nullatambia Pillay having in the same order been suspended from the duties of the office, but taking the date of Areeapatrapillay's restoration to office to be the 10th February 1832, it would ap-pear that ever since his restoration he has been guilty of misconduct. - From Enclosure No. 13 it seems that on an inspection of the accounts from July 1831 to December 1832 certain ir-regularities were detected, and a sum of Rupees 411-8-10 was agreed to be refunded, of which the portion to be repaid by the Curnum Areeapatrapillay was

was Rupees 150 - The Board request you will inform them whether Areea-patrapillay was or was not perform-ing the duties of Curnum of the - Pagoda prior to the 10th February 1832, and if so, from what period. -

6 The Board request you will report how the surplus proceeds of the Village, of which up to Fusly 1236 there appears to have been in deposite Rupees 587-4-10, have been disposed of, and what have been the receipts from the Village since that period, and the - charges which have been defrayed - out of them - They request also that you will furnish the - original record of the enquiry made by Mr Wroughton in 1830, upon

upon which Nullatombiapillay

was dismissed.—

I have the honor to be

Rev. Bd. Office
Fort St. George
26th Jany 1835
Dsp 27

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

R. H. Porter
Dy Secretary

Compd W. Ritchie

27 Revenue Department 49

55

Circular

To

The Principal Collector of

&c. Madura

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for your information and guidance the accompanying Copy of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 13th January 1835 resolving that employment be given to Military Pensioners as Peons in public Offices.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
27th January 1835.
B.R.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

Porter
Dy Secretary

Copy J. Ritchie

Read 28

No. 62. Re. 51

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
6th January 1835.

As it would appear that Military Pensioners cannot be employed with the advantage in the Police Establishment at the Presidency that was expected — resolved as an experimental measure that employment be given them in the Revenue and Judicial Branches of the Service as Peons, and as Peons in public offices, making their Pensions up to the amount of the Pay of the situations they may be appointed to fill.—

The success of this plan will in a great measure depend upon the

selectio

selection and distribution of the Pensioners, according to their several qualifications; and if due attention be given to this point, it is anticipated that not only will a deserving class of Men be benefitted, but a considerable saving to the State ultimately effected. -

There are many Pensioners who, from wounds or injuries have been rendered unfit for the Military service, yet may be perfectly capable of performing the duties required of Peons, and it is principally from amongst these, that the selections should be made and not the old and decrepid. -

Ordered that copy of this resolution be furnished to His Excellency the Commander in Chief and to the Public, Revenue, and Judicial Departments

Departments, also to the Heads of Public Offices at the Presidency with a view that in communication with the Superintendent of Pensions, employment may be given to Military Pensioners in such situations as may appear most suitable to them.–

(True Extract)
(Signed) H. White
Dy Secy to Govt.

To the Revenue and Judicial Departments

No. 51.

Ordered to be communicated to the Sudr and Foujdaree Udalut and the Board of Revenue respectively, for their own information and guidance and for the information and guidance of the Heads of Offices Subordinate to them.–

(Signed) D. Eliott
Offg. Secy to Govt.

Fort St. George
13th January 1835.

(True copies)

[signature]
Dy Secretary

[signature]

63

30 61

Revenue Department

To

The Collector of &c.

Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826, to transmit for your information an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of November last.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

R. Porter
Depy. Secretary.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
12th February 1835.
Do. 26

Madura

Extract from the Register of Petitions for the month of November 1834.-

No.	Name of the Petitioner	Purport of the Petition	Order of the Board
8149 1834	From Lowatomby Maracoyer &ca of Keelacara Village in the Zillah of Madura.	Complain of general ill treatment from the Zemindar of Ramnad and in particular that the Areyacoody Village which had been purchased by one of the Petitioners has been Zufted by him and that an Excess teerwah has been demanded on their Mango Trees.-	Referred to the Principal Collector of Madura who is requested to give attention to the Complaints contained in this petition.- 10th November 1834.-

R. 1

(True Copy)

Compd. W. Ritchie

R. Porter
Dy Secretary.

31

63

95

To

The Principal Collector of
Madura.

Sir,

Re

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 2nd Instant, and to state that as one Nattamkar only is required for the village of Seroomanecam in addition to the 2 Bramin Nattamkars who already stand appointed - and as it appears, that of the 2 candidates Alagherry Naick and Chinna Mottoo Naicker the former has not only the best claim to the office as a Grandson of one of the original Nattumkars but is the best qualified for it, they approve of your appointing Alagherry Naick and rejecting the claim of Chinna

Mottoo

of Mottoo Naick – Petition 746 of 1834 has been endorsed as follows.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servt.

Rev. Bd. Office
Fort St. George
16th February 1835
Bd. 20

R. Porter
Dy. Secretary

Endorsement on 746 of 1834.

Under the circumstances stated in a report by the Principal Collector of Madura the Board have directed him to appoint the Petitioner Nattamkar of the village of Teroomanecam in conjunction with Sooliyen and Goonnyen who already stand appointed.

Rev. Bd. Office
Fort St. George
16th Feby 1835

By order of the Bd. of Rev.
(Signed) R. T. Porter
Dy. Secy.

(True Copy)

R. Porter
Dy. Secretary

Compd. W. Ritchie

Revenue Department 106

33

67

To the Principal Collector of
Madura

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 12th Instant and its enclosures, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that they approve of your having declined to restore Chinna Moottoo Kurpa Pillay to the office of Curnum of the Village Toodanary, and are of opinion that the claim of Sooba pillay son of Ramasawmy Pillay the former Curnum is preferable to that of Kuleeanasoon-drum Pillay the person appointed to the situation by the Sub-Collector and direct accordingly that he may be appointed to the office if competent

to

107

to do the duty.—

Rev. Board Office
Fort St. George
26th February 1835

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant

Dispd. 3d March
Recd. 8th

W. Porter
Depy Secretary.—

Comp. H. Ritchie

34

69

To the Principal Collector of
Madura.

Sir

With reference to your letter of the 11th ultimo reporting the death of the late Zemindar of Conneewady without children, or Brothers, and recommending that his 10 per % allowance should be continued to his Uncle Doraysawmy Appiah Naick - I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will explain more fully how the right of Doraysawmy Appiah Naick to succeed to the allowance in question in default of lineal heirs

heirs to the last incumbent is grounded -

You will also furnish a genealogical tree of the Zemindars family from Appiah Naick the Zemindar at the permanent settlement distinguishing such members of the family as are now living. -

Revenue B. Office,
Fort St. George,
2d. March 1835 -

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

D. 5
Recd 11th "

R Porter
Dy Secretary -

Comp. W Ritchie

Revenue Depart^t.

35

71

To

The Principal Collector of

Madura.

Re.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 14th February last, and to state, that the explanations therein afforded, and the depositions which accompanied, have satisfied the Board, that Nulleatombay Pillay is not the Meerassee Curnum of the Meenatchee Pagoda.

2. What is stated in the 9th Para of your letter, however, does not explain, to the satisfaction of the Board the

the contradiction there still appears to them to exist between your Endorse=ment dated the 29th May 1834, and your letter of the 6th August 1834 — In the former you distinctly decline compliance with the application of Areaputra — Pillay for the restoration of the Village of Mootankolum on the ground that the Curnums' business had been transacted during 14 years without the addition of the income of that Village to the other sources of income to the curnum, and that it appeared proper to allot the proceeds of that Village, for the extra charges of the lamps &ca of the Pagoda — In the latter you recommend the res=toration of that Village to Areeaputra — Pillay, because it had formerly made part of the emoluments of his Office —

The

The Board request that you will explain more clearly the reason of the apparent change in your opinion on this point.—

3. In Para 4 of your letter, under reply, you refer the Board to a decision passed by you in appeal on the 24th May 1834, and reported in the usual periodical return, dated the 4th November 1834, for an answer to the remarks contained in the 6th Para of Mr Porter's letter of the 26th January last, relative to the circumstance of Areaputra Pillay having been guilty of misconduct since his reinstatement in Office. I am directed to inform you that a reference has been made to all the returns forwarded by you in the last year, and that the decision

decision in question cannot be dis-
=covered nor indeed a return of the -
exact date you specify - The -
Board request therefore that the cir-
=cumstances of the case may be explained -

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant -

Revenue Bd. Office
Fort St. George
2d. March 1835
Despd. 12
Recd. 14th

R. Porter
Dy. Secretary -

Compd. H. Ritchie

189

37 75

Revenue Department

To

The Collector of

Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826, to transmit for your information an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of December last.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

W Porter

Depy. Secretary

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
23 March 1835.

Recd 31st

Madura

Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of December 1834-

No.	Name of the Petitioner	Purport of the Petition	Orders of the Board.
747 of 1834	From Moodoosawmya Pillay Vakeel to Seroojanasum-mede Pundarum of Madura	Refers to former petitions regarding Chengama Naiken late Nattawar of Nullama Naiken Putty Village- Asserts his Principal's claim to that Office and all the other Offices of the Village and Complains that the Tahsildar pays no attention to it - Prays that the Principal may receive the	Referred to the Principal Collector of Madura who is requested to record by Endorsement on this Petition the orders he has passed on the subject thereof 8th December 1834

R.

38

77

Revenue Department.

Q. 39 79

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 2nd April 1835.—

"Read letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 20th March 1835 submitting explanation called for regarding a contradiction between his endorsement of the 29th May 1834 and the recommendation contained in his letter of the 6th August on the subject of the restoration of the village of Moolenkolum to Aureaputtra Pillay.—

[Here enter No. ...]

The explanation contained in this letter is considered satisfactory and under it the Board confirm the order passed upon the subject in their letter of the 25th August last."

(True Extract)

(Signed) Porter
Deputy Secretary.

P. H. Ritchie

40 81

Re.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date 13th April 18

"Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 29th September 1834, submitting the answer of the Manager of the Ramnad Zemindary stating the grounds on which he considers it just that the Government, and not the Zemindar, should maintain the Concubines of the deposed Rajah of Ramnad, who died in confinement at Madras in January 1809.

(Here Enter)

Resolved that the Principal Collector of Madura be called upon

to

to ascertain and report the actual state of the Concubines of the late Rajah of Ramnad, alluded to in the foregoing letter, and their children and families, and to state what he considers the least, proper and rea=sonable maintenance for them, in the event of the Government being pleased to extend their charity to=wards them, by making some allowance for their support.—

(True Extract)

[signature]
Secretary—

Recd. 18th Apl.

Compd. W. Ritchie

Revenue Department

41

To

The Principal Collector of

Madura

Sir, &c.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Petition and with reference to your endorsement on the Petition which forms its enclosure, to request that you will ascertain and report whether the Tomb or whatever building may have been erected in the garden therein alluded to, was ever used for purposes of public charity or convenience such as the accommodation

No. 303 of 1835

242

accommodation of travellers, hamaz

and if so, as it appears that the

Garden, the proceeds of which

were granted for its support

has been mortgaged, why on the

circumstance being brought

to your notice you declined to

take measures for recovering

the land in order to the appro-

priation of its income to the

proper object.

Rev. B. Office
Fort St. George
20th April, 1835

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obt Servt
A. P. Hilton [signature]
Secretary.

Recd 16th May

Comp. W. Ritchie

42

Revenue Department

To

The Collector of

Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826, to transmit for your information an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of February last.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

R Porter

Depy. Secretary

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
23d April 1835.

Recd 15th May /35

Madura

Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of February 1835.

No	Name of the Petitioners	Purport of the Petitions	Orders of the Board
1053/1834	From Gopenada Dowayhuner of the Choultry of Soondara Doss in the Zillah of Madura.	States that the above Chattrum was built by his Ancestors and endowed with 10 Villages which having shifted on the assumption of the Country by the Company, a fixed allowance was granted for the support of the Chattrum, which allowance was once augmented but subsequently decreased below the original amount that the	The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to report on this petition. 16th February 1835.

43

257

		the surplus income of the 10 Villages is now in deposit and amounts to a large sum. Prays that from this an increase may be made to the daily allowance to the Chuttrum and to the allowance of another Chuttrum. Refers to a former Petition to which he states he has received no answer..	
✓ 153 of 1835.	From Golam Hussain Saib son of Cauzee Mohdeen Saib of Teroovannoor Village in the Zillah of Madura..	States that he and his cousins were joint sharers in certain Enam granted for the support of the Teroova[illegible]	The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to report on this Petition by Endorsement..

certain Enams and a Yeomiah
granted by the Nabob for
lighting the small Mosque
in the Fort of Madura: that
Petitioner enlisted in the
Cavalry and left his Mother
and younger Brother to enjoy
his share of the Enams &ca
and to perform the duties
attached to them and that
upon their death Petitioner's
cousins usurped Petitioner's
share and when Petitioner
on obtaining a Pension returned,
and claimed his share, gave
up to him only a small
portion

44

69

	portion and that he has applied to the Collector for redress to no purpose - Prays that the Board will interfere. -	
957 / 1834 - From Palaneya Pillay Curnum of Perpalunjee a Vadapalunjee and Carady patty subject to Madacalum Talook in the Zillah of Madura	States that Petitioner held the Office of Curnum up to Fusly 1215 and his Brother up to 1223 and were then dismissed; that Petitioner understanding that all Meerassidars entered in the accounts of Fusly 1212 were to be restored; petitioned the Sub Collector and on proving some Charges against the Curnum Vellapillay was placed in his room; but the Collector ordered the restoration of Vellapillay. -	The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to pass orders on this Petition by Endorsement - 26th February 1835

(True Copy)

Compd. W. Ritchie

R Norton

Revenue Department

Re. 91

To

The Principal Collector of Madura.

Sir,

Para: 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th Instant No 37.

2. In transmitting under date 17th December 1829 the letter from the Chief Secretary of the Ceylon Government for the information and guidance of the Princl. Collector, the Board expected as a matter of course that the opinion therein expressed would have been observed and that no engagements would have been

entered

entered into for the disposal of the privilege of fishing Pearls pending the determination of the Rameswarum claims without their special sanction.

3 You will be pleased to report at what periods since the agitation of the subject in question there have been fisheries and, to what extent the privileges formerly enjoyed by the Pagodas have been allowed during them. You will also be pleased to forward the agreements entered into with the renters of the Kintha Boats and explain particularly whether, adverting to the Petition of V. Ebroya Mercoyer, the rent of the privilege of

of fishing was guaranteed to the renter during the whole period of the Fishery or for a fixed period.—

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant
[illegible]
Secretary—

Recd: 13th Office
Fort St George
2d April 1835
(Recd 6 May)

Ansd 10th May

Ex. R. Ritchie

vide letter to Bd. No. 2041 d/ 29.4.35

47

297

Revenue Department.

R.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 18th May 1835.-

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 29th April 1835.-

[Here enter No. 41]

It does not appear that in this case an appeal addressed to the Board of Revenue has been presented to the Principal Collector by the party sentenced. If not it is unnecessary to submit the proceed-ings to the Board. But when an appeal addressed to the Board is presented to the Principal Collector by a party sentenced by the Sub Collector and the Principal Collector

on

on reviewing the proceedings, does not think it proper to grant the redress prayed for, it is indispensable that the instructions under date 11th August 1831 be acted upon, as the Board are obliged in that case to form their own judgment upon the appeal already preferred".–

(True Extract)

A. Webster

Secretary.

Comp. K. Hutchinson

Revl. Depart.

49 95

To &c.

The Principal Collector of Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 7th. October last, and to transmit herewith Copy of Mr. Acting Secretary Stonhouse's letter of 6th. November 1832.—

2 The question at issue between the Zemindar of Ramnad and the Pundarum of the Ramaswarum Pagoda is still under the consideration of the Board, whose decision will be communicated to you as soon as passed.—

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most Obedient Servt.—

[Revenue] Bd. Office, Fort St. George } 5th. May, 1835.
337

[signature]
Secretary.—

Recd. 1st June
P. H. Ritchie

313

50

101

Fort St. George, 6th November 1832.

Re.

No. 997

Rev. Dept.

Gentlemen,

With reference to my letter under date the 23rd Ultimo No. 978, relative to the future Management of the Rama-swarum Pagoda, I am directed to transmit to you the accompanying Petitions from certain persons urging that Saidoo Ramanaidoo Pundarum possesses no right to be invested with the Management of the Pagoda affairs. On this point the Principal Collector of Madura will of course satisfy himself before placing Saidoo Ramanaidoo in possession.

No. 1473 } 1832
No. 1494 }

(Signed) T. V. Stonhouse
Acting Secy. to Govt.

To The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

(True Copy)

[signature]
Secretary.

[illegible] [signature]

vide letter to Bd No 38 & 7 25-4-35

Revenue Department

51

103

To

The Principal Collector of

Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 25th instant and to state, that the Board are anxious to know the circumstances under which the Pundarum of the Ramaswarum Pagoda was so long in confinement. They therefore request that you will procure and forward to them a copy of the sentence of the Circuit Court in the case. and furnish any other information that

may

may appear to you necessary to
explain this point.—

Revenue Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
25th May 1835
B 27

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your Most obedient Servant

[signature]
Secretary

Comr. W. Pitcher

323

105

52

Revenue Department

To

The Collector of

Madura.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826, to transmit for your information an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of March last.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

R. Porter

Depy. Secretary.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
25 May 1835.

Madura

Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of March 1835.

No.	Name of the Petitioners	Purports of the Petitions	Orders of the Board
9734 1834	From Aroonachelum Pillay & Namasevoyem Pillay, sons of Moottoocomaroo Pillay, Nattama Meerassidar of Cootegareoondy in the Zillah of Madura.	Complain of the decision of the Collector on their claim to a return of their Meerassee land which they had formerly mortgaged, but had redeemed the mortgage thereof.	The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to report on this Petition. 16th March 1835.
766	From Paranaicken, Boochee Naick and others 25 in number Inhabitants of Pareyacota Village in the Zillah of Madura.	Complain that the Curnum and Assistant Nattawar have over collected from Petitioners in Fusly 1242 & 1243 Rs. 97 and also given them receipts for less than they paid (and	The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to report on this Petition by Endorsement. 19th March 1835.

	and that the Collector will not grant Petrs redress. Pray that the 97 Rs abovementioned may be returned to Petitioners and the Nattawar and Curnum dismiss:ed; the situation of the latter being filled up by an individual unselected by the Petitioners.	
771 1834 From Sashyen Meerassy Natamcar of Solavandan in the District of Madura.	Refers to the Boards Endorsement on a former Petition and Complains that Petitioners is kept out of his meerassy situation of Nattawar through the influence of the Hoozoor Sawdnewses who misrepresents the matters to the Collector.	The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to Endorse on this Petition any orders that may have been passed on the subject of it. 30th March 1835.

233 of 1835	From Emmaumally Shaw son of Raujoo Shaw and Muctoom beebee Yeomishdar in No. 74 of Madura..	States that a Yeomiah of 2 Fanams per diem was granted to his father Raujoo Shaw for his support in the Fort at Madura, and that on his death it was reduced to 1 Fanam and continued to his Mother, and afterwards to his sister who is also now dead. Prays that it may be continued in Petitioner's name..	The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to report on this Petition by Endorsement.. 16th March 1835.-

(True Copy)

A. Porter
Dy Secretary.

Compd. W. Ritchie

54

109

327

Revenue Department

To 2

The Principal Collector of
Madura

Sir,

Referring to the award of Arbitrators forwarded in Mr Wroughton's letter dated 24th October 1833 in which the right of an individual to sell lands belonging to a "Kauranah Amblam Meerassy" was recognized - I am directed to request that you will at your earliest convenience inform the Board of the exact nature of this tenure - particularly explaining whether the title to such lands is an official right or privilege according to the declaration

334

declaration in the preamble of Regulation

VI of 1831 or whether they are private

property having no connection with any

office. and whether they pay the full.

or a reduced tax—

Revenue Bd. Office } I have the honor to be
Fort St. George } Sir
28th May 1835 } Your Most Obedient Servant
P. 35

A. McR...
Secretary

Recd. 4 June 35

Revenue Department.

No. 135. 56. 113

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying correspondence with the Principal Collector of Madura relating to the decease of the late Zemindar of Cunnewady and to the claims to succeed to the com-

[Margin:] ...the Pl. Collr. of Madura 11th February 1835. ... Do. 2d March 1835. ...from Do. 25th March ". From Do. 28th March "

compensation of 10 per cent received
by him in lieu of the resumed
Zemindary.

2. Under the explanation of
the Principal Collector the Board
recommend that sanction may be
granted for continuing the pension
to Dorasawmy Appiah Naick the
uncle of the deceased and grandson
of the Zemindar existing at the
time of the permanent Settlement
[illegible] appear to be the un-
[illegible] heir.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Secy.

Revd. Bd. Office
Fort St. George
13th. April, 1835

Extract from the Minutes of
Consultation dated 29th. May 1835.

The Right Honorable
the

the Governor in Council is pleased to sanction the continuance of the Pension recommended to Dorasawmy Appiah Naick.

(Signed) J. P. Wheatley
Secy to Govt.

(True Copies)

To the Pl. Collr. of Madura
With reference to his Letter of the 28th March last.

A. Robertson
Secretary.

P. Whitchurch

58

243

Revenue Department

117

To

The Principal Collector of Madura.

Sir,

With reference to your letter to the Secretary to Government in the Revenue Department dated 28th April last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that the Petition No. 283 of 1835 regarding claims to participation in certain yeomiah allowance therein referred to, may be submitted to this Office, as they are directed by Government to dispose of the case in question.—

Revenue Bd. Office
Fort St. George,
1st June 1835

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your Most Obedient Servant

[signature]
Secretary

[signature] W. Ritchie

59 Revenue Department.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 11th June 1835.

Read letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 30th May 1835.

[Here enter No. 57]

The Principal Collector is authorized to grant waste lands according to the Statement which accompanied the foregoing, to the Enamdars in lieu of those formerly held by them."

(True Extract)

Recd 19th June

(Signed) [illegible]
Secretary

Comp. P. H. Ritchie

60

121

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated, 12th June, 1835.

Read the following letter.

(No. 23.)

Re.

To H. Chamier Esqre.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George.

Sir,

Revenue.- I am desired by the Hon'ble the Governor General of India in Council, to forward to you, for submission to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council of Fort St. George, the enclosed copy of a correspondence noted in the margin,† with the Government of Bombay and with reference to the 2nd paragraph of the letter addressed to the Secretary of that Government. I am desired to request, that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council will be pleased to favor the Supreme Government with his sentiments regarding the expediency of enacting the Law suggested for legalizing the alienation of the Emoluments and Office of Patel.-

† Letter from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, dated the 16th April, 1835, with Enclosures.- Draft of a Regulation Letter to the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, dated the 4th Instant.-

Council Chamber, the 4th May, 1835.- (Signed) W. H. Macnaghten, Secretary to the Govt. of India.-

(Copies)

No. 860 of 1835.

Territorial Department, Revenue.-

To

To W. H. Macnaghten Esqre

Secretary to the Govt. of India.

Sir,

I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, to transmit to you, for the purpose of being passed by the Right Honorable the Governor General in Council, the accompanying Draft of a Regulation for legalizing the alienation of the emoluments and Office of Patel.

2nd I am desired at the same time, to forward copies of the documents noted in the margin, which will explain the reasons that have led this Government to propose the enactment of a Regulation for the abovementioned purpose.

Letter from the Rev. Comr dated 20th November, 18[..]
Enclosures to the foregoing
Reply to do., dated 2[.] Jan[..]
Letter from the Rev. Comr dated 2d Feby 1835.
Reply to do., dated 1[.] Feby 18[..]

Bombay Castle,
16th April, 1835.

(Signed) L. R. Reid,

Secretary to Govt.

No. 3291 of 1834

Territorial Department,

Revenue.

To L. R. Reid Esqre,

Secretary to Government,

Bombay.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit copies of two letters from the Collector of Ahmednuggur, dated the 5th of February last, and 5th Instant, recommending Government to sanction the purchase of the Patelkee of Mouzee Manchee by Ranjie Wulud Heerajee Dongree, who has obtained a lease of that Village, agreeably to the sanction &

conveyed

conveyed in your letter to Mr. Mills, dated the 14th of last January.

2nd The propriety of admitting the sale of Patelships being a question of importance, I called for the opinions of the Principal Collector of Poona, and Collector of Candeish on it, and copies of their replies are now submitted to the consideration of Government.

3rd I beg respectfully to draw the attention of His Lordship in Council to the forcible arguments used by the Collector of Candeish in his letter of the 1st February last, to illustrate the advantages which he considers would flow from these Sales.

4th Mr. Boyd is of opinion, that that measure would tend to sustain the value of the situation of Patell, to enhance the personal credit of that Principal Village Servant, and lead to the improvement of the Country, by substituting for needy decayed families, men who from their comparative opulence would be better qualified to manage and improve the Villages.

5th It is evident from the Statements made by Mr. Boyd's predecessor in his letter to Government of the 4th January 1829 (copy of which, for the convenience of reference is hereto annexed,) that his opinion on this subject is the same as Mr. Boyd's. Mr. Giberne having stated in the 4th paragraph that the practice had always been allowed under the Native Governments, observes, "I would respectfully recommend the measure still to be permitted "in a Country such as this, where there are so many poor and help-"less Patels. many residing in Villages distant from their own, de-"serted for want of means to remedy the evil."

6th I confess my own opinions on this subject

have

have undergone some change. I am still of opinion, that if the sale of Patelships became general, and was indiscriminately sanctioned, that it would often produce bad effects by placing strangers at the head of Village communities, in place of the old respected Hereditary Families, whose Ancestors may have held the Office time out of mind.

7th In a rich Country like Goozerat, where the Villages are large and the independent Ryots require, comparatively, little assistance from the Patells, I feel satisfied the system would create feuds between the Ryots and Patells, and would seldom succeed, but in the small impoverished Villages, and with the spiritless Patells of many parts of Candeish, and the Deccan, the system would have to operate under very different circumstances, and I think might be often attended with beneficial effects.

8th In the 12th paragraph of his letter of the 1st February last Mr. Boyd states his reasons for not considering the provisions of Section XX of Regulation XVI, prohibitory of the sale, but I doubt the accuracy of that opinion, for the nature of the situation cannot be well separated from the character of the allowance, and as the Regulation declares the allowances to be "official" and not "subject to alienation", I should think the Office to which they appertain, must be regarded in the same light.

9th Should the Right Honorable the Governor in Council concur in Mr Boyd's views, I would suggest, that the purchase of these "Wuttuns" be confined for the present, to the Collectorates of Candeish, Ahmednuggur, and Poona. If the Plan worked well

62

126

well in them, the propriety of extending it might be afterwards considered.-

10th No "Wuttun" would of course be sold without the concurrence of the Patell, and I think Government's sanction should be obtained in each case- should Government consider the enactment, above quoted, to forbid the rule, a new Regulation, permitting it, will be necessary.-

11th I have not alluded to the opinion of the Collector of Ahmednuggur; but judging from the tenor of his letters of the 5th February last, and 5th Instant, Mr. Mills' sentiments would appear to agree with those of Messrs. Giberne and Boyd.-

Poona District, Sassoor,
Revenue Comrs. Office,
20th November, 1834.-

(Signed,) Thos. Williamson.
Revenue Commissioner.

No. 219 of 1834.

Territorial Department.
Revenue.

To Thomas Williams Esqre.,
Revenue Commissioner,
Kaira.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 29th December last, informing me that you had recommended to Government to grant the application of Ranjee Wd. Heerajee for the Village of Manchee in the Sungumnair Talooka, I have the honor to annex for your information (in case a copy should not have been

forwarded

forwarded to you by Government,) copy of a letter addressed to me, dated 14th Ultimo, and to forward to you a copy of the terms on which I have agreed for the Village with Ramjee Wd. Herajee, together with copy of the "Culumbundee" I have drawn up for his guidance.-

2nd I have informed Government, that Ramjee Wd. Herajee's terms have been accepted, and the Village made over to him.-

3rd With reference to the 2nd para: of your letter, I cannot find that any orders have been issued regarding the sale of the Patielkee Wuttun; but I am of opinion, that the sale should be confirmed; first, because the Village has been made over to Ramjee, and he is more likely to take a greater interest in its prosperity from being Patel, as well as a farmer, than if he were the latter only; and secondly, because he is a man of some little capital, and improvements may be expected to be made by him in his joint capacity, which never can be expected, if the sale of the Patielkee Wuttun is not confirmed.-

Circuit Cutchery at Toka,
5th February, 1834.-

I have &ca.,
(Signed) R. Mills,
Collector.

No. 105 of 1834.

Territorial Department, Revenue

To Richard Mills Esqre.,
Collector of Ahmednuggur.

Sir,

With reference to your letter to the address of the Revenue Commissioner, under date the 21st October last, submitting an application from Ramjee Wd. Herojee, a Resident of Sunqumnair,

to

63

(27)

to reestablish the Village of Manchee, I am directed to inform you, that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to authorize you to accept of Ranjee's offer, and to grant the Village to him on "Istwa," on such annual payments as you may settle with him.–

2nd His Lordship in Council does not however, I am desired to add, consider that it would be expedient permanently to alter the established rates of the lands, but is of opinion, that in such a case, it would be more advisable to give the Village on a fixed annual rent to the Farmer, who would collect from the Ryots, whom he might induce to resort to the Village, such reduced rates, (below the "Kumal Beriz,") as the parties might respectively engage for.–

I have &ca.

Bombay Castle, 14th January, 1834.

(Signed,) L. R. Reid.

Secretary to Govt.

No 2068 of 1834.

Territorial Department, Revenue.

To Thomas Williamson Esqre.,

Revenue Commissioner,

Poona.

Sir,

With reference to my letter dated the 5th February last, regarding the purchase of the Patelkee of Mouzah Manchee by Rowjee Wd. Heerojee Dongree, who has also taken that Village on lease, I have the honor to forward copy of a Petition from that person, and to request I may be favored with your early instructions.–

His

2nd He has much improved the Village, built a large house, and dug several wells, and if the purchase of the Patelkee is sanctioned, it will be a stimulus to further improvements.—

I have &ca,

Circuit Cutchery at Talleygaon, 5th Novr, 1834.

(Signed,) R. Mills, Collector.

No 12 of 1834.

Territorial Department, Revenue.

To Thomas Williamson Esqre,

Revenue Commissioner, Surat.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 30th Ultimo, and to enclose a copy of my reply to a reference on the petition of a purchaser of Patelship, dated the 24th August last, from which it will appear, that it was considered in that case to be contrary to law, for a Patiel to sell his Wuttun.—

I have &ca,

Principal Collector's Office, Poona, 7th January.

(Signed,) H. D. Robertson, Principal Collector.

No 678 of 1833.

To Chas Norris Esqre,

Chief Secretary in attendance with the Right Honorable the Governor, Dapooree.

Sir,

3 457

64 125

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, dated the 19th Instant, on the subject of a petition presented to His Lordship, by Toolajee Kalley, Subidar Major of the Pioneer Corps, that he may be allowed to pay a "Nuzzur" for half the Patailghee of Thoogaon purchased by him.—

2 Toolajee's right to purchase, or rather the right of the Patail with whom he dealt, to sell such a Wuttun having appeared to be effected by Sec: XX of Reg: XVI of 1827, I referred the question to the Judges of the Suddr Dewanee Adawlut, and request you will have the goodness to submit for His Lordship's perusal, copies of my letter and of the reply from the Judges.—

3rd To take a Nuzzur and grant a Sunnud, imply an opinion of Government as to the legality of the transaction to which they relate; but by the preamble to the Regulations of 1827, any resolutions or orders of Government, contravening the Regulations, can have no force or operation.— I am not aware that any "proclamation" was actually issued respecting Nuzzers.— The case quoted about Enams is not parallel, and I am not aware that the Regulations obstruct their transfer by sale.—

I have &ca,

Cutchery at Maddah,
24th August, 1833.

(Signed,) H. D. Robertson,
Principal Collector.

Territorial Department, Revenue.

To Thomas Williamson Esqre,
Revenue Commissioner,
Camp

Camp Kaira.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 31st December last, handing up for my opinion a letter from the Collector of Ahmednuggur, on the subject of selling the Patelkee of Manchee, I beg to remark, in the first place, that Government have declared, that no sales of this description are to take place, and Regulation XVI Sec. XX of 1827 has been interpreted as decidedly preventing the alienation of such Wuttuns.—

2nd As connected with this subject, I beg to enclose copies of correspondence on my records, which will fully explain the pleasure of Government on the point.—

3rd I must confess that my own opinion is greatly at variance with the views taken by Government in this matter, and in offering my reasons for presuming so far, I may respectfully declare, at least, that my ideas are founded on the result of very considerable experience.—

4th Whether or not the real intention of the framers of the Regulation XVI Sec. XX Clause I and II was to prohibit entirely the sale the Wuttuns, or only to prevent their being separated from their official immunities, such as Hucks, Enams, Lands, &ca, thereby rendering the functionary incapable of supporting the dignity of his situation, is a point I shall not here detain you by considering.—

5th It certainly has, at least, been essentially declared that, the former is the correct interpretation of the enactment, upon what grounds I am at a loss to discover.—

But

6th But when are such sales effected, and under what circumstances? Every one knows the tenacity, with which our native subjects cling to the highly prized distinction of hereditary Offices. Under ordinary circumstances, no money will purchase, no influence procure them. How then are they disposed of or acquired? Only when the holder has, by a long course of misfortune, arrived at that hopeless state of ruin which demands the greatest and last of sacrifices, the sale of his Wuttun, or rather of his name.

7 Then the wealthy Merchant, or fortunate Cultivator comes forward, and at a price infinitely beyond its intrinsic value, becomes the purchaser of what alone can add to the possession of wealth, a Wuttun.

8th It is unnecessary to notice the obvious policy of upholding the value and respectability of these inheritances; but do we secure this by preventing their being sold; or do we secure to Government more efficient functionaries by the system? I think it easy to shew we do neither.

9th In fact, it is notorious that the Offices of Patuls and Coolcurnies in the smaller Villages have greatly been lowered in estimation, by the knowledge that they cannot be sold. The same hold upon the members of these families cannot be maintained; their credit suffers, because the great security they could always offer their Wuttun is no longer available as a pledge; and they will gradually sink into still greater decay.

10th Government can always ensure the proper performance of the duties of these Officers, let the Wuttun be held by whom it

it may, and on the other hand, sales will never occur, except when families are so reduced and embarrassed, that it becomes a question whether or not the permission to sell, would not be the most advantageous measure, both for Government and the parties themselves.–

11th. In such cases, we exchange the needy and decayed family of the seller for the comparatively opulent purchaser, between whom I think, the choice is scarcely doubtful, whether we consider the Village Community itself, or the interests of Government.–

12th. In conclusion, I shall take the liberty of again alluding to the Regulation in question, XVI, Section XX, Clause I and II, in which I beg to remark, I can see nothing which forbids the sale of Wuttuns, the Regulation appears clearly intended simply to prevent the allowances from being separated from the Office itself, and not to prohibit the sale of Wuttuns which, in many instances, would be highly beneficial.–

13th. Many men of capital would, if they could secure the Patelkee or Coolcurneeship of a poor or deserted Village, make exertions, which they now do not care to attempt, debarred as they are, from the acquisition of what alone gives a Native pride and interest in a Village.–

Circuit Cutcherry,
Camp Jamnair,
1st February, 1834.

I have &ca,
(Signed) W. S. Boyd,
Collector.

Territorial Department, Revenue.

To Thomas Williamson Esqre.,
Acting Secretary to Government,
Bombay.

66

133

Bombay.

Sir,

Although Section XX, Clause I and II, Regulation XVI of A. D. 1827, forbids the alienation of the hereditary allowances from the family in which the Office of Zemindars is vested, I am induced to request you to have the goodness to solicit the sanction of the Honorable the Governor in Council for the sale of the half shares of two Patelkees by the present incumbents and sole proprietors, as the measure would be of considerable benefit to the Villages which are now deserted, and the present proprietors are too poor to undertake their reestablishment.

2nd Ooka Wd. Jeysing Patel of Dewgaum, Pergunnah Massumbud, is desirous of selling the half share of his Wuttun of Patel, to Jana, a man of some property and enterprize, who promises to establish the Village, and to produce the Tunka Revenue in 5 Years.

3rd Cowjee Patell of Dudree Purgunnah Lulling, is desirous of selling half his Wuttun to Abbajee, who proposes to bring 10 ploughs and to reestablish the Village.

4th It has always been allowed both under the former Government and during the present until the introduction of the Regulations for the Patels to part with a portion or the whole of their Wuttuns with the permission of the local authority, as by so doing they promoted the welfare of the Village by drawing persons of some capital and activity to assist in its prosperity, and with the greatest deference I should respectfully recommend the measure still to be permitted in a Country, such as this, where there are so

many

many poor and helpless Patails, many residing in Villages distant from their owns deserted for want of means to remedy the evil.

5th With regard to the district Zemindars, no advantage is gained by their selling or alienating their Wuttuns, and many disadvantages ensue, and prior to the introduction of the Regulations, altho' it rested with the local Officers to grant or withhold permission, it was seldom, if ever attained.

Candeish Circuit Cutcherry, Camp: Challesgaum, 4th January, 1829. } I have &ca, (Signed,) G. Giberne, Collector.

No. 222 of 1835.

Territorial Department Revenue.

To Thomas Williamson Esqre, Revenue Commissioner.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, (No. 3291,) dated the 20th November last, with enclosures regarding the sale of Patellship, and to inform you, that for the very substantial reasons which have been assigned, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion that the sales of the Office of Patell should not be entirely prohibited. There are instances wherein the transfer of the Office from the hands of a poor Ryot to those of a man of property and energy would be productive of advantage to Government and the Village Community, tho' no sale should, I am instructed to observe, be permitted, without the sanction of Government, nor any share be alienated, except to a person already in possession of a portion of

the

the Wuttun.—

2nd His Lordship in Council accordingly requests that you will prepare and submit the draft of a Regulation, making the necessary alterations in the provisions of Section XX of Regulation XVI, of 1827, and at the same time state, whether the measure now proposed with regard to Patells should be confined to them alone, or extend to other District and Village Officers.—

I have &ca,

Bombay Castle, 29th January 1835. } (Signed,) L. R. Reid, Secretary to Government,

No. 207 of 1835.

Territorial Department, Revenue.

To L. R. Reid Esqre,

Secretary to Government,

Bombay.

Sir,

I have the honor of acknowledging the receipt of your letter, dated 29th Ultimo, containing the decision of His Lordship in Council, that the sale of the Office and Wuttun of Patells should not be entirely prohibited.—

2nd I would respectfully beg to submit for the consideration of Government that, the great advantage which it is anticipated would accrue from the sale of Patells Wuttuns being sanctioned, Vizt. the substitution for needy decayed families, men who from "their comparative opulence, would be better qualified to manage and "improve the Villages" will be lost, if the proviso that "no share be alienated

except

"except to a person already in possession of a portion of the Wuttun," as mentioned in the 1st paragraph of your letter under reply, be insisted upon.—

3rd If these are the terms on which the Wuttun and Office can alone be sold, the provisions contained in 2nd Clause of Section XX of Regulation XVI, of 1827, would, I apprehend, render any further enactment unnecessary, it is for the strong reasons urged in Mr. Boyd's letter submitted with mine of 20th November, that I would respectfully request, that the sale of the Wuttun and Office of Patells to people whether connected or unconnected with the family of the incumbent may, under the stipulations suggested in my letter above quoted, be sanctioned by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.—

4th In reply to the question put in the last paragraph of your letter, I beg to state, that I am of opinion, that the measure now proposed should not at any rate, for the present, be extended to other Village and District Officers.—

Ranjungaon,
Rev: Comr. Office,
2nd February, 1835.

I have &ca,
(Signed,) T. Williamson,
Revenue Commissioner.

No. 335 of 1835.

Territorial Department, Revenue.

To Thomas Williamson Esqre,
Revenue Commissioner.

Sir,

I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter (No. 207) dated

the

68

(13)

the 2nd Instant, relative to the sale of Patelkee Wuttuns, and to observe that the object of not allowing the Office of Patell to be disposed of in shares out of the family, is to avoid the evils arising from a divided management, for if each holder of a tenth, a twentieth, or a fiftieth share were to be at liberty to dispose of it to any individual whatever, without restriction, there would be no end to disputes, from which the interests of Government and the Village must suffer. The Cosharers may however, join and sell the whole Wuttun without restriction, by which means it will be held by one individual, and under Clause 2, Section XX, of Regulation XVI, of 1827, will not be subject to future divisions.

2nd These considerations induced His Lordship in Council to consider the limitation, to which you object, advisable. As however, it is possible that cases may arise wherein a strict observance of the principle might lead to greater inconvenience than an occasional permission to dispose of a share beyond the family, and might, in fact, defeat the object of the proposed alteration of the Law, His Lordship in Council on reconsideration, is disposed to make the permission general. The sanction of Government will be necessary in each case, and this can be withheld wherever the admission of a member of another family is likely to produce greater evil, than could arise from obliging the present incumbents in the Office to retain their shares.

I have &ca,

Bombay Castle,
14th February, 1835.

(Signed) L. R. Reid,
Secretary to Govt.

Revenue Department,
The 4th May, 1835.

(True Copies)

(Signed) W. H. Macnaghten,

Secretary to Govt.

No. 2.

(Copy.)

Revenue Branch.

Regulation A. D. 1835, Supplement to Regulation XVI, of 1827.

A Regulation for rescinding so much of Section XX, of Regulation XVI, of 1827, as prohibits the alienation of the Emoluments and Office of Patel, passed by the Governor General of India in Council on the — of — 1835.

Preamble.

Whereas it is considered that in many instances the substitution (as Patells for members of needy decayed families) of men who, from their resources and energy are desirous, as well as capable, of improving their charges, would tend to the benefit of the Village Community as well as the interests of Government, the following Rules are hereby enacted, and to have effect from the date of promulgation.

Section 1, Clause 1. So much of Section XX of Regulation XVI of 1827, as prevents the alienation of the emoluments and Office of Patell is hereby rescinded. *Rescinding part of Section XX, of Regulation XVI, of 1827.*

Clause 2nd. No sale or disposal of Patells Wuttun shall be held valid, unless expressly approved by Government. *Sanction of Government required.*

Clause 3rd. The power of granting or withdrawing sanction in each particular case, is hereby reserved to, and vested in the Governor in Council. *Which may be either granted or withdrawn.*

(True Copy.)

(Signed)

(Signed,) L. R. Reid,

Secretary to Govt.

Revenue Department,

The 4th May, 1835.

(True Copy.)

(Signed,) W. H. Macnaghten,

Secretary to Govt. of India.

No. 3.

(No. 22.)

(Copy.)

To The Secretary to Government

at Bombay, in the

Revenue Department.

Sir,

Revenue. I am directed by the Honorable the Governor General of India in Council, to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, dated the 16th Ultimo, with its accompanying Draft of an Act for legalizing the alienation of the emolument and Office of Patell, with the documents explanatory of the reasons for that enactment.

2nd In reply, I am desired to state, that the Governor General in Council, entertains considerable doubts as to the expediency of enacting the law suggested, and he would wish, at all events, to have the benefit, in the first instance, of the sentiments of the Governor in Council at Fort St. George, as to the probable effects of the proposed measure, it being understood that the tenure in question, is on a similar footing in many of the districts under that Presidency, to that which

d.

Mirasi at Bombay.—

3rd In the mean time, I am desired to request that information may be furnished as to the usage which prevailed under the Native Governments with regard to the Office and emoluments of the Patels, and whether they are considered as alienable or otherwise.—

Council Chamber, the 4th May, 1835. I have &ca. (Signed,) W. H. Macnaghten, Secretary to the Govt. of India.

No. 661.

Ordered, that the foregoing letter be referred for the consideration and report of the Board of Revenue.—

Fort St. George, 12th June, 1835. (Signed,) T. R. Wheatly, Secretary to Govt.

To The Board of Revenue.—

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated, 29th June, 1835.

"Ordered, that the foregoing be circulated to all Collectors, who are requested to ascertain the feelings and wishes of the inhabitants of their respective Districts, and to submit their own sentiments on the subject at an early period."

(A true Extract,)

(Signed,) A. P. Onslow, Secretary.

(True Copies,)

Recd 28th Septr J.B.

R. Porter
Dy Secretary.

70 141

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 18th June 1835.

Read the following letter.

No 51

To the Secretary to Government
in the
Judicial Department

Re

Sir,

1 I am directed by the Judges of the Sudr Udalut, with reference to your letter of the 23d December last to submit the opinion called for by the orders of Government, on para 51 of the Court of Directors' despatch under date 20th March 1833.

2 The Judges have given their best attention to the point referred

referred to them, "the best mode of "adjudicating disputes with respect "to the boundaries of adjacent districts" They consider it to be the exclusive province of the Government to determine the boundaries of the several Districts, and that wherever doubt may exist, the authority of Government must be interposed. But where disputes of this kind involving property arise, the right of the respective claimants must be brought to issue in a regular suit, and they would suggest that the Zillah Court, nearest the property the cause of action should be authorized to file, and dispose of such suit.

suit.-

91

143

3 Claims falling under the provision of Regulation XII of 1816, may, in like manner, be declared cognizable exclusively by the Collector, whose Huzzoor Station is nearest to the scene of the dispute.-

Sudr Udalut Register's Office 21st April, 1835. (Signed) J. F. Thomas Regr.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 22d May 1835.-

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves of the suggestion contained in the

above

above letter, and directs that it be carried into effect.-

Signed/ T. R. Wheatley
Secy. to Govt.

Ordered that the foregoing be circulated together with Extract Paras 4 and 5 of the letter from the Board to Government dated 25th January 1830, to all Collectors for their guidance.-

(True Extract)

Recd 12 July

[illegible]
Dy Secretary-

Camp? W Ritchie

572

Re. 145

Extract from a letter to the Acting Chief Secretary to Government dated 25th January 1830.—

Para 4. The second Extract relates to a dispute regarding the boundary of a Village, the same being also the boundary of the Districts of Masulipatam and Guntoor. The Honorable Court observe that "they think it desirable that there should be some fixed rule for the speedy determination of all such questions, so that no doubt may remain as to the proper Officer under whose cognizance Complaints respecting boundaries may fall," and the Government have been pleased to refer the Subject for the consideration of the Board.

It

5 It is the province of Government to determine the limits of the Jurisdiction of the several Courts and of the Collectors and Magistrates when a dispute arises respecting the boundary between two Villages in adjoining Districts and from its inferring a similar question respecting the boundary of the Districts, there is a doubt as to the proper officer to take Cognizance of it, the Board are of opinion that this question should be immediately referred to Government, and that the Government should declare, as in the case alluded to by the Honorable Court under whose Jurisdiction the disputed ground should be for the present. The authoritative

decision

decision of the question between the
Villages may then be obtained in the
mode provided by the Regulation for
the decision of disputes of that nature;
and the Government may afterwards
determine, with reference to such
decision whether the division of
Jurisdiction made protempore shall
be confirmed permanently, or what
alteration shall be made. It will
be obviously expedient in general
to make the boundary of the Villages,
as settled by the decision of the
proper authority, to be also the
boundary of the Districts in
which they are situated respectively,
in order that each village may
be

306

be wholly under one jurisdiction.–

(Signed) D. Eliott.–
Secretary

(True Extract)

R Porter
Dy Secretary.–

18th June

Comp. W. Ritchie

Re.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 18th June 1835.-

Read letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 5th June 1835.-

(Here enter No. 62)

The Board concur in the foregoing opinion that the case in question appealed by Caroopayee - Meerassidar of the Ambalum of - Poottoor in the Zemindary of Shevagunga comes under the provisions of - Regulation VI of 1831 - The Principal Collector is therefore desired to restore to the Petitioner her share of the Ambalum.-

Ordered

389.

Ordered that the following Indorsement be made on the Petition .. No. 16 of /33.

"The Principal Collector has been directed to restore to petitioner her share of the ambalum" ..

(True Extract)

Recd. 4 July

A W L S C R[illegible]
Secretary.

Compd. H. Ritchie

Revenue Department. 367

75. 151

No 273.

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Re.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Govern[or] in Council the accompanying

4th June 1835 letter from the Principal Collect[or] of Madura, and to recommend under the circumstances stated in the endorsement by the late Acting Principal Collector therein

No 367 of 1833 enclosed, that he may be authorized to allot 3 – 5¼ Cawnies of old waste lands

R a p
lands assessed at 14-7-2 per annum
as a maximum to the village watcher of Solavundan in that District.

Rev B. office
Fort St George
15th June 1835

(Signed) A. W. Onslow
Secretary.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 23d June 1835.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for alloting C a 3-5/4 of waste lands assessed at Rupees (14-7-2) fourteen, annas seven and pice two per annum for the purpose specified in the foregoing letter.

(Signed) J. R. Wheatley
Secy to Govt

(True Copies)

the Pl. Collr. of Madura
With reference to his
letter of the 4th Instant.

A. P. [illegible]
Secretary.

Comp. W. Ritchie

405

76 1535

Revenue Department

Circular

To

The Principal Collector of

Madura

Sir, Re

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for your ~~information and guidance~~ report the accompanying copy of an Extract ~~copies of the undermentioned letters~~ from the Minutes of Consultation, regarding the expediency of introducing certain arrangements for employing pensioners from the Army for the performance of Civil duties within the Madras Presidency.

3rd April 1835.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

[signature]

Dy Secretary.

Office of the Board of Revenue,
Fort Saint George,
5th June, 1835

Recd 6th July 35

77 156

Judicial Department.

No. 221.

Re.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 3rd April 1835.

Read the following letter.

No. 292.

To the Secretary to Government of Fort St. George.

Sir,

I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor General of India in Council, to forward to you for submission to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the enclosed copies of Minutes by the Right Honorable the Governor General, dated the 24th December and 14th January, and of one by the Honorable Lieutenant Colonel Morrison, dated the 31st December last, and to request that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council will be pleased to favor the Supreme Government with his opinion regarding the expediency of introducing similar arrangements for employing Pensioners from the Army for the performance of Civil Duties within the Madras Presidency.

Council Chamber,
the 5th March, 1835.

(Signed) W. H. Macnaghten,
Secretary to Government of India.

Para. 2. It is ordered likewise, that the opinion of the several Collectors and Magistrates be taken, as to whether Pensioners

can

can be employed in their several Districts with advantage to the public service and with economy to the State.

(True Extract and Copy)

(Signed) T. R. Wheatly,

Secretary to Government.

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(Copies)

Minute by the Governor General of India

In a Minute recorded in the Judicial Department on the 28th August 1833, relative to the employment of Military Pensioners as Burkundazes for the protection of Treasuries and Jails under the Civil Authorities, I adverted generally to the mode of Arming and Clothing them, and I suggested that the senior Native Officer, if one be not given for the special purpose, should be constituted the Commandant of all Civil Station public Guards, whose particular duty it should be to look after all District Guards, see to the efficiency of their Arms, the uniformity of their appearance, and the orderly and correct discharge of their duties; and I proposed that an additional Staff pay should be allowed in such cases.

2. A consideration of the description of men who have been, within the last four or five years, transferred from the ranks of the Army to our Pension List, which has been brought to my notice in my capacity of Commander in Chief, has recalled this subject

to

to my recollection, and presented to my mind, the means of forming a very complete and trust-worthy body of Burkundazes, and organizing them in a manner better calculated to ensure their efficiency than that contemplated in the Minute adverted to; but being, at the same time, a continuation of, or part of the plan therein laid down - combining the important advantage of relieving the State from some portion of the weight of our overgrown and costly Pension Establishment, or at least of obtaining some equivalent for the sum expended in it. -

3rd Owing to a relaxation in the mode of invaliding the Native Soldiery, so great a number of able bodied men, still in the prime of life, have become Pensioners, that it has been found necessary to check what has been turned into an abuse, and to call upon the several Paymasters of Native Pensions, before whom the men must appear to receive their stipend, to report in all cases where they may think that Pensioners are fit for the performance of regimental duty, with a view to reenrolling them in their Corps: now it occurs to me, that from the whole number of Native Commissioned and Non Commissioned Officers, none of whom can again be brought into the ranks of the Army, and of the number of Sepoys, who though not quite fit for the active duties of a Soldier, might still be very adequate to those of a Burkundauze, a most efficient body of these men might be organized, and for this object that the Paymasters should be instructed to report on such men in the same manner as they are called upon, to report on those fit to return to the Ranks of the Army - and it also occurs to me, that its efficiency may be greatly improved, and an opening afforded for the advantageous employment of several respectable Officers on the Invalid

Establishment

Establishment, who would doubtless be glad to be relieved from the monotony of their present situation with some addition to their Invalid Allowances.

4. The more fully to carry these views into effect, and to ensure the greatest possible degree of efficiency in the body of Burkundazes, I would recommend that it be divided into a certain number of Companies, to be decided upon according to the distribution of Burkundaze amongst the Civil Stations, each Company to consist of such proportion of Native Commissioned and Non Commissioned Officers and Burkundazes as may be determined upon with reference to the duties they are intended to perform; but it would be desirable to have them so regulated that no Company should be divided for the duties of different Districts. _ The Commissioners and Magistrates could perhaps suggest the proper strength of each Company and the proportions of Officers which, in the grades of Commissioned and Non Commissioned, should retain those corresponding to the Native Officers of Companies of Native Infantry. _

5 Instead of the rates of pay laid down in my Minute of the 28th August, 1833, I would now suggest, that the Pensioners employed as Burkundazes should receive an addition to their present stipendary subsistence, which would render their receipts equal to those of corresponding Ranks in Regiments of the line_ thus, a pensioned Sepoy employed as Burkundaz would receive seven Rupees per Month, viz; 3. Rupees in addition to his Pension of 4, which should continue to be disbursed by the Pension Paymasters_ subject to no deduction, but entitled to receive, at the expence of the State the Articles of Clothing specified in that Minute,

namely,

namely, a Scarlet Coat or Jacket or pair of Pantaloons, and a Cotton Turband.-

6. The sole command, control and payment of the Burkundazes would of course remain in the hands of the Civil Authorities, under whom the Native Officers would exercise the command and functions adverted to in the first paragraph of this Memorandum.- (But as the supervision of Native Officers only, might not be sufficient to retain the Burkundauze Companies in that state of order, discipline and efficiency, and it cannot be expected that the Civil Officers under whose control they will be placed, will have leisure or Military knowledge to bestow sufficient attention to the subject, and to exact from the Native Officers the full performance of the duty entrusted to them.- I conceive, that it would be advantageous for the purpose, to entrust the general superintendence of the Burkundauzes in each Commissionership to an Officer to be selected from the Invalid list- his duty would be simply that of supervision over the state of discipline, the Arms and Clothing of the Burkundaz in his District, and reporting on the same to the Civil Authorities under whom he will be placed, and to the Secretary to Government in the Judicial Department.- The more effectually to exercise this supervision, he must be made to make two annual inspections of all the detachments within the limits of his authority.-

7.- To the Invalid Officers so employed, I would grant in the first instance, the full pay and allowance of their Regimental Rank, reserving for future consideration, and contingent on the working of the system I wish to introduce, any additional allowance to be made to them.-

8.- It has been suggested to me, that it would be

expedient

expedient to place this Establishment under Martial law, as the best means of maintaining order and discipline in it, but on this point independently of the incompatibility of exercising Martial law over a body of men entertained purely for Civil purposes, I conceive that the powers of the Civil Magistrate are quite ample for these purposes. He has the punishment by fine and imprisonment for minor offences, and for those of a graver nature that of discharge from the Burkundauze, and in extreme cases, of forfeiture of pension under the authority of Government will be completely efficacious.—

9.— Besides these pensioned from the Army of this Presidency, I am given to understand there are a number of men, Natives of our Provinces, but Pensioners under the Presidencies of Fort St George and Bombay, who might also be found able and willing to undertake the duties of Burkundazes. I see no objection to their being so employed on the same terms as I have proposed to employ our own Pensioners - and this leads me to suggest, that it would be desirable to ascertain how far the introduction of the system proposed, might be equally expedient and economical into the Presidencies I have mentioned, their Pension Establishment being respectively, at least, as onerous as our own proportionally.—

10.— This seems to be the more practicable under the Presidency of Fort St George, where the Native Veteran Battalions are ready formed to their hands, and need only to be transferred to the Civil Authorities, under the rules I propose, to at once come into effect as Burkundazes. This would relieve us from a heavy expence that I cannot but consider as being uselessly incurred at present, for with the abundant number of regular Troops under that Government, these Battalions cannot be required for Military purposes or duties, and in transferring them to the Civil Department, a

corresponding

corresponding reduction of their Establishments could be effected. In the Veteran Battalion there is a vastly preponderatory proportion of Commissioned and Non-Commissioned Officers, to anticipate any difficulty that may be made in the disposal of them. I would suggest that all those not required for the actual duties of the Burkundaze Companies should be placed upon the Pension Establishment until vacancies occurred to bring them on the strength of the Burkundaze.

11. A reference on this subject may be made to the Government of Fort St George, to ascertain if any and what objection exists to the immediate adoption of the measure.

12. As it is possible that a sufficient number of Invalid Sepoys for the Civil duties of Burkundazes, who are both able and willing to undertake that service, may not be found, and many other obstacles may occur in carrying the proposed system into effect, which cannot be foreseen - it might perhaps be advisable to ascertain how the proposed system is likely to work by introducing it, in the first instance, into a limited number of Districts, and with reference to the location of the Native Military Pensioners, and the facilities they afford for superintendence, the Commissionerships of Patna and Allahabad occur to me as the fittest for this purpose. In the latter, I have no doubt that Mr. Macsween, who takes an interest in this matter, and will be on the spot, would look carefully after it.

13. The Military Superintending Officers for each divisions might be immediately appointed, and they ought to be instructed to put themselves in communication with the Paymasters of Native Pensions within their reach, and likewise with the Commissioners and Magistrates of the Divisions in which the Burkundaze Companies are to be formed.

14.

14.- All the present Guards within these Divisions ought to be carefully inspected, and altho' the Burkundaz Guards which formerly belonged to the Provincial Battalions, should not be displaced to make way for the regular Invalids — all inefficient men, whether belonging to them or not, should be at once discharged

15.- If there are a sufficient number of regular Invalids to form the Burkundaz Guard for one District — the Company can be formed immediately, and the efficient men of the late Provincial Battalion may either be incorporated in it, or transferred to the next District, as may be deemed most advisable. — But it must not be overlooked, that the instruction which the men of the Provincial Battalions have received in the use of our Arms, affords facilities for incorporating them with the Invalids, that should as far as expedient, be taken advantage of, but the men of the Provincial Battalion, if it appears objectionable to incorporate them with the Invalids, may themselves be formed into separate Companies and placed under the Superintending Officer

16.- If the Superintendent finds that he has enough men for two Districts, he can then extend the plan to a second and so on to a third and a fourth &ca, keeping the Judicial Secretary informed of his progress, that the necessary communications may be made to the Commissioners of the adjoining Divisions that preparations may be made, if needful, for the provision of the men of the Provincial Battalions.-

17.- If the system is found to answer on the limited scale here proposed, the formation of the whole body of Burkundazes in Companies might be carried into effect and in that case, after carefully

fully weeding out the bad or inefficient men from the Burkundaze not Invalids, I conceive it would be money well applied to raise the pay of the whole to 5 Rupees per Mensem each man, which would draw into that service the best men of the Country, and give us a small organized force in each District that would command respect, and be ready to quell any sudden disturbance without the delay, inconvenience, and expence of calling in regular Troops.–

18.– From the 5 Rupees a Month, the men should be obliged to supply themselves with an uniform dress in the native fashion, and if not accustomed to the use of the Musket, they must retain their own Matchlock and Tulwar.–

19.– It ought to be distinctly understood by the men, that the Civil Authorities have the power to dismiss any of the Burkundaze Guards, whether Invalids or otherwise, without the intervention of, or appeal to, any Military Authority, but it may be made a question for consideration if in the cases of Invalids, the sanction of the Commissioner should not be made requisite.–

20.– If the proposition I have here submitted meets with the approbation of the Council, I would propose that a copy of this Minute be forwarded to the Honorable the Governor of Agra with the view to the immediate introduction of the system into the District of Allahabad.–

Note.– The several propositions contained in this Minute with the exception of that relating to Madras Veteran Battalions, have been submitted to the Secretary to the Agra Government, Mr. Macsween; to the Secretary to the Government of India, Colonel Casement, and to the Adjutant General of the Army of Bengal, Colonel Lumley.–

(Signed) W. C. B.

Calcutta,

Decr. 24th 1834.

(Signed) W. C. Bentinck.

I concur generally in the propositions contained in this Minute, I am inclined to think with Mr. Blunt that it should be optional with invalided Sepoys to accept or decline service in the proposed Burkundaze Companies: & I am also disposed to doubt the policy of incurring the risk of lowering, in the estimation of the Native Army, the benefit of the Invalid Establishment by requiring Sepoys who have been Invalided to return to regimental duty.—

(Signed) A. Ross.

Minute by the Honble Lieutenant Colonel W. Morison.

I concur generally in the arrangements proposed by the Right Honorable the Governor General in His Lordships Minute of the 24th Instant, as also on the observations recorded by Mr. Blunt in his Minute of the 26th.—

It seems to be highly desirable to adopt regulations which shall have the effect of preventing the transfer of Sepoys to the pension list who may still be fit for regimental duty, but in my mind, not less so, that any who have been already transferred, should be held ineligible to return to their Corps. I also think, that any Pensioners who may be deemed fit for the Burkundaze Companies, should be allowed to volunteer for that service, and that no other authority than that of the Government should have the power of cancelling their Pensions for misconduct.—

I do not apprehend any difficulty in employing the Native Invalids at Madras on the duties of Civil Stations — But it will be recollected that they are on the receipt of full pay, which I conclude it will neither be advisable nor practicable to reduce, nor to punish

them

them otherwise than by Martial Law, a circumstance which would detract much from the convenience, and perhaps the efficiency of the measures. The Sepoys of that Presidency, those of the Cavalry excepted, look to the continuance of full pay as Invalids, before they arrive at the Pension List; and as the pledge given to the Native Infantry with regard to their Invalid Companies in the year 1806, was, I conceive, broken thro by the formation of these Companies into Veteran Corps, much delicacy will be required to be observed in materially altering the condition of the Invalids, in which the whole of the Native Infantry would feel deeply interested.

Calcutta,
Decr. 31st, 1834. } (Signed) W. Morison.

(Copy)

Minute by the Governor General in India.

With reference to the observations made by Mr. Blunt and Mr. Ross on my proposition for employing the Pensioners of the Native Army as Burkundazes, I beg to say, that I never contemplated the measure as a compulsory one on the Invalids, but always intended that it should be optional with them to accept this service or not. Indeed, compulsion would be supererogatory, if the reports from the Allahabad Paymaster be true, for from them, I am led to believe, that they would be eager to enter upon such employment with the advantages of pay held out to them.

The disposal of the Madras Veteran Battalions will, of course, depend upon the report which will be made by that Government, in which the objections made by Colonel Morison will doubtless

be

be fully considered. I conceive however, that we are at liberty to reduce these Battalions if it be found inexpedient to keep them up, and transfer the men composing them to the Pension list in the same manner as the Invalid Battalion on this Establishment were reduced in 1830.

Calcutta,
14th January 1835.

(Signed) W. C. Bentinck.

Judicial Department,
the 5th March 1835.

(True Copies).

(Signed) W. H. Macnaghten,
Secretary to Government in India.

(A true Copy.)

(Signed) A. P. Onslow,
Secretary.

83 169 49

Revenue Department

To

The Collector of

Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826 to transmit for your information an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of April last.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[illegible]

Dep. Secretary.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
25th June 1835.

Recd. 8th July

Madura

Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of April 1835.

No.	Name of the Petitioners	Purport of the Petitions	Orders of the Board
944 of 1834	From Alunkaratawen Natamkar of Sennamanulloor Village in the Zillah of Madura	States that the Joint Natamkar of his Village Mahomed Ibrahim was formerly dismissed and fined, but has never paid his fine and charges him with having added to his Mauneyem land belonging to a Mosque and inciting one Veerabudren to claim Petitioners appointment, which claim, tho' the Principal Collector has rejected it, Veerabudren has renewed in a petition to the Board - Prays that Veerabudren's Petition may be rejected and Mahomed Ibrahim	Referred to the Principal Collector of Madura who is requested to record by Endorsement any orders he may pass thereon. 13th April 1835.

84

169

R

	Ibrahim dismissed and a Hindoo appointed in his Stead..	
215 of 1835 From Sied Ibram Cuttaul Caudery Peerjadah Yeomiahdar of Madura in No. 23..	Encloses Copy of a Petition presented by him to Government in which he expresses his fears that the Collector of Madura will recommend that certain of his relations, already sufficiently provided for, may be allowed to share in a yeomiah of 2 13/4⁄16 Fanams per diem which Petitioner has drawn for the last 60 years..	Referred to the Principal Collector of Madura.. 13th April 1835..
188 From Lutchoomana Pillay Son of Visvanada Pillay Meerassy Curnum of Cumbum in the Zillah of Madura..	Represents that other persons are in possession of his Curnegum that he petitioned Mr. Viveash who decided in his [illegible] but he has not yet	The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to report on this Petition by Endorsement 13th April 1835..

	obtained the office - Prays that the Board will cause him to be placed in the situation above-mentioned.-	
221 From Ramalinga Gooroocal and Moottaya Gooroocal worshippers of Elacatta Ammen Covil at Cooroocheefutta in the Zillah of Madura.-	Transmits Copy of a petition presented by him to the Principal Collector with that officer's Endorsement thereon, and claims on behalf of his clients certain Villages belonging to 2 Pags which the Board ordered to be delivered to one Vurdyen and which are now in the possession of one Ramyen and prays that the Board will summon to their office certain witnesses and call for documents and restore the Villages to Petitioner's Clients.-	Referred to the Principal Collector of Madura with reference to his Endorsement on No 321 of 1833 - He will be pleased to record his orders on this Petition by Endorsement.. 20th April 1835.-

85

171

423

1043 1834	From Naga Pillay and Rama Kistna Pillay Meerassy Curnums of Madacoolum Casbah in the Zillah of Madura	State that their ancestors held the abovementioned Curnegum up to Fusly 1221 but were then unjustly dismissed - Pray that the office may be given to the Petitioners.	The Principal Collector Madura will be pleased to endorse on this Petition the circumstances under which the Curnegum in question was taken from the individuals in whose right Petitioners Claim. 27th April 1835.
1071	From Cunnapa Tomberan belonging to Terooyana Summenda Moorty Matom at Teroopoorum Coondon in the Zillah of Madura	Represents that on the Petition of the Puttamars the Principal Collector has assigned to them certain Collections at the Pagoda which had before been divided between the Pagoda and the Cirkar and prays that Petitioner may be intrusted with the charge of these collections when he will secure a profit to Government of 2000 Rupees per annum.	The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to report on this Petition by Endorsement. 30th April 1835.

(True Copy)

R Porter

Dy Secretary.

[illegible]

Revenue Department

86

173

No 278

To &c.

The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

With reference to Mr Porter's letter of the 8th January last, and the orders of Government thereon, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Madura and to recommend under the circumstances represented in the Statement which accompanies it, that sanction may

[illegible] 1835

may be granted for the appropriation
of the piece of Ground therein described,
assessed at 60 Rupees per annum, for
the support of the Choultry lately completed
at the Village of Covilputty in the
Maraugapoory talook of that District.

Revt. Bd. Office
Fort St. George
11th June, 1835

(Signed) H. P. Onslow
Secty.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 30th June 1835.

"The Right Honorable
the Governor in Council is pleased to
authorize the proposed grant as re-
commended in the above letter.

(Signed) T. R. Wheatley.
Secty. to Govt.

(True Copies)

[illegible]
Secretary.

[...] the Pl. Collr. of Madura
With reference to his
[...]tter of the 30th May last.

[...] Comp. [illegible]

Recd. 1[...]

87 177

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 9th July 1835.

Read letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 24th June 1835.

R

(Here Enter No. 67.)

The Board concur in the opinion expressed by the Principal Collector in the 2nd Para of his report to Government on Petition No. 283 of 1835 submitted under date 28th April last and authorize him to dispose of the case accordingly.

Ordered the following Endorsement on Nos. 283 of 1835 & 215 of Do.

The

The Principal Collector of Madura having reported on this case, the Board are of opinion that the Petitioner has not proved his exclusive right to the Agraharam alluded to in his petition.

(True Extract)

[illegible]
Secretary.

Comp. W. Hutchins

Revenue Department
515

88

179

To the Principal Collector of
Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 16th March 1834, reporting on a petition from ... Ponnamal complaining of the stoppage of a pension continued to her on the death of her mother in law Shashummaul, one of the family of Saidobathee, and to request that you will state whether the Petitioner is in any great distress and whether you

To ...
Tobacco ...
15/3/...

516

you can recommend that any portion of the resumed pension be granted for her support, you are also requested to refer to any correspondence that may have taken place regarding the original grant of the pension.—

Revenue B. Office, Fort St George, 30th July 1835.
Dd 1st Aug/35/1835
Recd 5th /35

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

A Ritchie
Secretary.

Comp: W Ritchie

Revenue Department

89

181

To

The Principal Collector of

Madura.

Sir, &c.

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Wroughton's letter of the 29th December last enclosing a petition from the Vakeel of Muttoowar Koallalee Natchyar styled therein the daughter of the late Moottoo Ramalinga Sai=doobathe Rojah, and widow of Ramasawmy Taver.

2 Mr. Wroughton appears to consider the petitioner as the Principal Widow of the late

Rama-

Ramasawmy Tauer and recommends
that either the original pension
may be granted to her or that
50 Rs. the moiety thereof may be
granted to the children in stated
proportions. On referring to former
correspondence the Board are
lead to doubt whether the
Petitioner really is in the situation
which Mr. Wroughton seems to
have supposed that she holds
the name of the daughter of
Saidoobathee on account of his
marriage with whom Ramasawmy
Tauer obtained a pension of 100
Rupees a Month is stated in
Memorandum B forwarded with
Mr. Vinasahs' letter of the 20th

May

90 183 527

May 1830 to have been Shewagaumee Natchyar and in the same memorandum the name of the Petitioner appears as receiving 13 Rupees Monthly as a daughter of Saidoo-bathee by a concubine - More-over in the petition of Auga-landiny Attal Ramasawmy Taver's Mother Copy of which was forwarded by Mr. Viveash in the letter abovementioned - Moottoobaur Kalullee Natchyar is mentioned as one of two of Ramasawmy Taver's concubines and Moottoo Teroovoy Natchyar as his widow -

3 The Board request that you will report fully the state of the family of the late Ramasawmy Taver as it is at present both as to the

individuals

individuals who constitute its
Members and their means of Sub=
=sistense to enable the Board to
judge of the propriety or otherwise
of recommending the grant of a
pension for their support and also
in whose names the grant if
issued should be.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obt. Servant
[signature]
Secretary.

Rev. B.d Office
Fort St. George
6th August 1835
Recd 11th

Compd W. Ritchie

91

Revenue Department 39/

185

No. 290 Re.

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying correspondence with the present and late Principal Collectors of Mäsura upon the subject of Petitions which have been presented by the widows of

From the Pl. Collr. 26th August 1829
To Do. 12th Octr. "
From Do. 2d May 1832
To Do. 24th May "
To Do. 29th Do. 1834
From Do. 29th Septr. "
Resolution of the Board 13th Apl. 1835
From the Pl. Collr. 28th May "

of the deposed Zemindar of Ramnad,
Mootoo Ramalingum Satoopaty
who died in confinement at Madras
in 1809 representing that since the
Ramnad country has been given
over no allowance has been made
for their support - whereby they are
reduced to the greatest distress, and
praying that some provision may
be made for them -

2. The circumstances of
this case are so fully set forth in
the letter from Mr Vineash dated
26th August 1829 as well as in that
of the present Principal Collector
under date the 29th September last
that it appears to the Board un-
-necessary to recapitulate the par-
-ticulars of it - The case of the
petitioners

petitioners appears to the Board to be one of peculiar hardship, and tho' perhaps they may not strictly speaking possess a claim upon the Government for the provision for which they pray the Board cannot but consider that they are fit objects for the bounty of the Government and they would recommend therefore in accordance with the opinion and recommendation submitted in each of the above mentioned letters that they may be allowed, for their lives, the pension entered in the statement which accompanies Mr. Blackburnes letter of the 28th May last amounting to Rupees 119 per

From the Pl. Collr. 26th Augt. 1829. Paras 7 & 8.
Fromd. 29 Septr. /34 Para 16.

per Mensem. Pensions to the amount of 1200 Rupees per Mensem were originally granted by Government for the support of the deposed Ze:=mindar's family- the lapses which have occurred, in which exceed the amount of the allowances now recommended.

Rev. Bd. Office } (Signed) A. P. Onslow
Fort St. George } Secretary
22d. June 1835

Extract from the Minutes of Con:=sultation dated 28th August 1835.

Read the following letter

(No. 42)

To H Chamier Esqre

Chief Secretary to the Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge Revenue

the

the receipt of your letter No. 861, dated the 21st Ultimo with its Enclosures, and in reply to request that you will inform the Right Honble the Governor in Council, that the Governor General of India in Council authorizes the grant of the proposed allowance of Rupees 119 per Mensem, to the widows of the deposed Zemindars of Ramnad, Mootoo Ramalingum Satoopathy during their lives.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) W. H. Macnaghten
Secy to the Govt. of India

Council Chamber
the 10th August 1835

No. 1049

Ordered to be communicated

to

to the Board of Revenue with re-
-ference to their Secretary's letter
of the 22^d June last, and to the
Accountant General and Civil
Auditor for their information
and guidance

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secy.

To the P^l. Collector of Madura
With reference to his
letter of the 28th May 1835.

(True Copies)

A. Walker
Secretary.

Recd Sept 21. 1835

Compd. W. Ritchie

93A 191 397

557

94 183

Revenue Department.

Re:

To

The Collector of

Madura.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826, to transmit for your information an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of June last.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
31st August 1835.

Recd 13th September

R. Porter
Depy. Secretary.

Madura.

Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of June 1835.

Nos	Name of the Petitioners	Purport of the Petitions	Orders of the Board.
381 / 1835. / 1	From Nachee Areya Tawen and Care Pillay Tawen Nattamacars of Vapanooth Village in the Zillah of Madura..	Complain of having been unjustly dismissed on a groundless suspicion of his having been accessary to a Robbery - Pray to be restored..	The Principal Collector of Madura will be pleased to report by Endorsement on this Petition 4th June 1835.. Ansd 21st Jul 35.
1128 / 1834 / 1	From Vadamala Moodelly Vakeel to Seenwassiengar Aueeodar of the Choultry at Madacolum in the Zillah of Madura..	Alledges that Seenvassin has been deprived of a situation attached to the Solavundum Choultry, by the head Lawabnevis Seenevassa Iyengar, who for a bribe of 20 Pags put in one Allagherry Iyen who had been acting for two months during the temporary absence of Seenvassa Iyen - mentions	Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Madura.. 4th June 1835. Ansd 21st Jul 35

95

185

557

		mentions another instance in which he states that he can prove that the Jawabnewiss has taken bribes - Prays for redress for Seenewassa Iyer and an enquiry into the conduct of the Jawabnewiss -	
1191	From Certain Accordars of Conaryapah Moodely Cuttala or Charity Institution in the Meenatchee Soondaraswarur Davastanum in the Zillah of Madura	Request that the Collector may be instructed to make over to them the surplus in deposit, belonging to Certain Villages appropriated by their ancestors for the use of Charitable institutions in order that they may repair the Channels &ca &ca belonging to them - Pray also that the Villages themselves may be restored to their possession -	The Principal Collector of Madura will be pleased to report by Endorsement on this Petition - 11th June 1835 - Ansd 21st July 35

1 Sept. 23rd 1835.	From [illegible] Pillay son of Nullamoottoo Pillay Curnam of Cotchacattay in Madura,	Encloses the Endorsement of the Principal Collector and the Board of Revenue on his petition and prays for orders to the Collector to give him Copies of such documents as he requires, as per Section XXX Regulation XII of 1816 Begs also that the enclosures & the Petition with an endorsement may be returned to him..	The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to state what objection there exists to furnishing the Petitioner with copies of the documents he requires - upon his finding stamp paper of the same, and bearing the expence of Copying.. 18th June 1835.. Ansd. 21st Augt. 35 96
479	From Palany Meerasy Sots of Athalay Village in Madacoolum Talook in the Zillah of Madura..	Complains that he has been several times ousted from his Maunium lands thro' the contrivances of Sectaramien, and Ramachendra Lyer who being rich, manage that the orders past, to put him in possession again shall not be executed	The Principal Collector of Madura will be pleased to dispose of this Petition, reporting by Endorsement the Orders which he may pass.. 18th June 1835.. 187 Ansd. 20 Augt. 35

executed - Complains that the last order past by the Assistant Collector is at variance with that of the late Acting Principal Collector - Prays that he may be restored to full possession of the lands in question. -

(True Copy)

Porter

Dy Secretary -

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 3rd September 1835.

Read letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 21st August 1835.

Re.

Here Enter No. 87.

The Board are of opinion that the dismissal of the curnum was illegal, being contrary to the provisions of Section V Regulation ~~XXIX~~ of 1802. The Principal Collector will communicate this opinion to the manager of the Zemindary.

Ordered the following Endorsement on No. 1259 of 1834.

The Board of Revenue cannot

64.

cannot interfere to order the rests;

ration of the Petitioner, who is a

referred to the Zillah Court.-

(True Extract)

A White

Secretary-

Recd 8th Apr 35

Compd P. Ritchie

98

201

No. 389.

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government.

Sir,

Re.

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the correspondence noted in the Margin relative to the Edea Cottah Zemindary in the Madura District.

From the Collr.	6th	May	1831
To Do.	31st	May	"
From Do.	8th	June	"
To Do.	16th	Do.	"
From Do.	22d	Septr.	
To Do.	6th	Octr.	
From Do.	17th	Novr.	"
To Do.	23d	Feby.	/32
From Do.	20th	Apl.	/33
To Do.	13th	May	/34
From Do.	21st	June	"

2. It will be seen on reference to Mr Secretary Stokes' letter of the 7th December 1824, that so far back as fusly 1232 the Zemindar had submitted an application that his estate might be assumed and an

allowance

allowance of 10 per cent on the revenue of the Estate granted for his maintenance.

3. From the correspondence now submitted it would appear that the Estate has been unprofitable from the beginning, having been originally much too highly assessed, and generally speaking, the village official Enams having been included in the permanent jumma, that the Poligar spent his private fortune, and borrowed considerable sums to make good the deficiency of Revenue while the Estate was under his own Management, and that it was merely his disinclination to part with his hereditary Estate, which induced him to continue so long in possession.

possession.

4. During the period that the Estate has been attached, from fusly 1238 to 1242, inclusive, the average defalcation of the settlement below the Peshcush was Rupees 3421-9-5 per annum, and the balance due in the accounts up to that date was Rupees 22,817-7-6. The present balance is 35,410-1-11.

5. The Board under date the 23^d February 1832, having called upon the Principal Collector of Madura to submit his sentiments on the propriety of remitting the amount of the outstanding balance and reducing the Peshcush upon the Estate, that officer forwarded statements shewing the productive

20th Ap^l 1833.

value

value of the Zemindary, and proposed to lower the Peshcush from Rupees 9,782-3-7 to Rupees 7,491-4-10, being the average collections of 19 years. The Zemindar (he observed) could not resume the Estate unless a reduction to this amount was made, with such reduction and by an easy settlement with the Ryots he might be able to restore the cultivation and raise himself and them to easy circumstances. Some further statements shewing the cultivation in this Zemindary in Fuslies 1235 1236 and 1237 have been submitted with Mr. Blackburn's letter of the 21st June 1834.

6. On a full consideration

of

of the correspondence which has passed upon this subject and of the circumstances of the Estate, the Board are of opinion that it would not be expedient to reduce the permanent assessment as suggested by Mr. Viveash. It is known that the Permanent assessment of the whole district of Dindigul was excessive and that almost all the holders of Estates failed and were brought under Revenue penalties a few years after the settlement was concluded. Under these circumstances the Board apprehend that a reduction of the permanent Beriz on this Zemindary would give encouragement to other claims which it might not

See Revt. Selection Vol. 1 page 489.

not be advisable to admit but which being refused would occasion discontent.

7\. The alternative proposed by Mr. Vineash and solicited by the Poligar is that the estate be resumed by Government and that in lieu of it an allowance of 10 per cent of the net revenue be granted to the Poligar for his subsistence. By the general instructions for the formation of the permanent settlement it was left optional to those Zemindars who finally declined to accede to the Jumma settled on their Estates to surrender them on the condition of receiving a Malikana at the rate of 10 per cent on the net collections, and the same was allowed to

15th Octr. 1799 para 44 [illegible]

to the Poligars of the assumed Pollam
in Madura by order of Government
under date the 5th January 1821 - The
Poligar of Eddiacottah has tendered
a Deed of surrender of his Estate on
the above condition and if he be
willing to adhere to it the Board
recommend that it be accepted - It
is to be observed that the Poligar
was a Minor at the time of the
permanent settlement and was
therefore unable to offer objections
to the Jumma at that time which
it was open to other proprietors
to do under the 40th Para of the
General instructions, he was also
virtually precluded from availing
himself of the option of a Mali-
Kana -

The

8 The family of the Zemindar at present maintain themselves from the profits derived from private cul=tivation on their own lands within the Zemindary on which it has not hitherto been customary to collect the Government assessment &c - the Board recommend that the pro=fits thus derived be allowed to stand unaccounted for, instead of Malikana up to the commence=ment of the current fusly, but that the lands be now assessed to the public revenue - The Malikana should likewise be paid from the commencement of the current Fusly -

9 The Board recommend that authority be given to write off the balance of Peshcush -

If

If this be approved, the Principal Collector will be required to report the exact amount for special sanction.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Secretary.

Rev. B. Office
Fort St. George
20th August 1835

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8th September 1835

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant authority for accepting the surrender of the Coorcottah Zemindary if the Poligar be still willing to give it up, and to assign to him from the commencement of the current fusly an allowance of ten per cent of the net revenue of

of the Estate. In this case the pri=
=vate lands of the Zemindar will
also be assessed to the public revenue
and the profits hitherto derived
from them by him will be adjusted
in the manner suggested in the
8th Para. of the above letter. Au=
=thority is likewise granted for
writing off the balance of Peish=
=cush against the Zemindary, the
exact amount of which will be
reported for special sanction.

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

To the Pl. Collr. of Madura
With reference to his
letter of the 21st June 1834.

(True Copies)

A. Cole
Dy Secretary

Recd 29th Septr

Compd P. W. Ritchie

34723.5.7

Revenue Department.

Re.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 17th September 1835.

Para 1 The Board proceed to take into consideration the reports upon the settlement of the Land Revenue in the Madura District for Fuslies 1242 and 1243 contained in the letters entered below.

Here enter

From the Acting Pl. Collr. 20th August 183[illegible]

From the Principal Collector 25 August 1834

Settlement of Fusly 1242.

2 Mr. Viveash the late Principal Collector formed the settlement for Fusly 1242 in the

Talooks

Talooks of Iyenpully and Tenka-
-ray- The three Talooks noted in Tandecombo-
the Margin belonging to the Dindi- Nillacottah-
-gul division, were settled by the Maringapoory-
Head Assistant Mr. Anstruther
and the settlement of the remaining
three Talooks belonging
to the Madura division
was made by Mr. Wroughton
the Acting Principal Collector
on whom it devolved to report
upon the Jummabundy of
the year.-

3 In the following Fusly 1243.-
Fusly (1243) the Settlement of
the Dindigul division
was made by the Prin- Report for Fusly 1243 Para 2
cipal Collector Mr. Blackburne
and that of the Talooks composing
the

the Madura division by the Sub Collector Mr Lockhart.

4 The following Statement exhibits separately the Settlement of the Madura and Dindigul divisions for fuslies 1242 and 1243 and a comparison of the Net amount of the Settlement of each year with that of the year immediately preceding.

Fuslly.

	Fusly 1242			Fusly 1243		
	Madura	Dindigul	Total	Madura	Dindigul	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Gross Settlement	472494 1 3	516271 10 2	988765 11 5	489963 12 1	521395 11 10	1011359 7 11
Total Deductions	41561 10 2	141722 15 "	183284 9 2	41940 10 7	103189 " 5	145129 11 "
Net Revenue	430932 7 1	374548 11 2	805481 2 3	448023 1 6	418206 11 5	866229 12 11
Add Ready Money Collections	42633 2 11	15620 11 5	58253 14 4	43211 15 3	15463 10 9	58675 10 "
Total Aumany Revenue	473565 10 "	390169 6 7	863735 " 7	491235 " 9	433670 6 2	924905 6 11
Peshcush	603582 9 1	120529 6 "	724111 15 1	603582 9 1.	120529 6 "	724111 15 1
Hill Rents	" "	1457 11 3	1457 11 3	" " "	2836 5 10	2836 5 10
Total	1077148 3 1	512156 7 10	1589304 10 11	1094817 9 10	557036 2 "	1651853 11 10
Deduct Charges of Devastanums &c	28213 6 6	16837 12 2	45051 2 8	28481 15 3	18159 " 2	46640 15 3
Net Settlement	1048934 12 7	495318 11 8	1544253 8 3	1066335 10 "	538877 1 10	1605212 12 3
Comparison between the Net Settlement of Fusly 1241/42 & 1242/43.	Fusly 1241 954375 7 5	478639 5 "	1433014 12 5	Fusly 1242 1048934 12 7	495318 11 8	1544253 8 3
Increase	94559 5 2	16679 6 8	111238 11 10	17400 14 "	43558 6 2	60959 4 2
Per Cent	9 14 6	3 7 8	7 12 3	1 10 0	8 12 9	[illegible]

5 . From the foregoing Statement it appears that there was a total increase of Rupees 111.238 - 11 - 10 - in the Net Settlement of Fusly 1242, above that of Fusly 1241, by far the greatest part of which occurred in the Madura division. In fusly 1243 there was a further increase of Rupees 60959 - 4 - 2 - of which Rupee 43,558 - occurred in the Madura division. -

Increase in Fusly 1242	
Madura	94,559
Dindigul	16,679
	111,238

Report for Fusly 1243 Para 10

The total increase in the Net Settlement in the two years under review was thus Rupees 172,197 - -

Net Settlement		
Increase in Fusly	1242 -	111,238
D°	1243 -	60959
Total -		172,197

Season - Fusly 1242 -

6 In neither of the Divisions composing this District does the season appear to have been favorable in Fusly

1242.. In the Madura Talook the Monsoon set in earlier than usual and the rains were abundant until the 15th October, after which there was a general failure of rain, still the drought was far less severe than in the preceding year, and the remissions for withered crops were reduced from Rupees 6,415-2- to Rupees-701-11-4- In Dindigul on the contrary there appears to have been a greater want of rain than in Fusly 1241 and a greater failure in the crops in consequence- On this account the remissions in Fusly 1241 amounted to Rupees 18,842-1-8 whereas in Fusly 1242 they amounted to Rupees 35,958-14-10.

Season- Fusly 1243

In Fusly 1243 the Principal Collector states that the Monsoon commenced about the Middle of October more favorably

favourably than in the two preceding years

Report Fusly 1243 Para 3 but the rain appears to have been partial

the want of it having been severely felt in some

parts of the District. The 2nd Crop cultivation

is said to have suffered also to a considerable

Para 4 extent from the devastation of Grasshoppers

which destroyed the Plants. But the

Ryots were compensated for loss of produce

by the high prices obtained for the

crops reaped. And the remissions for

failure were inconsiderable compared

with those allowed in the two preceding

years.

8 The amount of the Gross

assessment on each description of

cultivation in the two Fuslies under

review is shewn in the annexed comparative Statement.

Fusly.

1.	Fusly 1242. 2.			Fusly 1243. 3.			Increase of Fusly 1242 above 1241. 4.			Comparison between Fusly 1242 and 1243. Increase 5.			Decrease 6.		
	Rupees	As	P	Rupees	As	P	Rupees	As	P	Rupees	As	P	Rupees	As	P
Poonjah	441,251	3	6	499,933	4	6	58,787	"	9	8682	1	"	"	"	"
Poonjah Bhagayet	68671	10	6	74655	15	4	3436	7	5	5984	4	10	"	"	"
Nunjah	395,753	9	5	404,352	2	2	23,816	2	7	8598	8	9	"	"	"
Nunjah Bhagayet	14,890	"	9	15,558	12	5	3396	6	9	668	11	8	"	"	
Nunjah mail Poonjah	10319	1	1	15,158	14	4	479	13	4	"	"	"	1160	2	9
Nunjah into Poonjah	1854	7	7	1681	4	4	1038	7	6	"	"	"	173	3	3
Nunjah mail Poonjah into Nunjah	25	10	7	19	2	10	16	10	7	"	"	"	6	7	9
Total	988765	11	5	1011359	7	11	99971	"	11	22593	12	6	"	"	"

There

...crease of Cultivation in Fusly 1242 9 There was a Net increase in Fusly 1242 in every kind of cultivation, giving an increase in the assessment to the amount of Rupees 90,971 - - 11 of which

...t 1 for Fusly 1242 Rupees 42,665 - 4 - 7 occurred in the Madura Division, and Rupees 48,305 - 12 - 4 in

...tatement 3 Dindigul.

...ncrease of Cultivation in Fusly 1243 10 Of the increase of Rupees 22,593 - 12 - 6 which occurred in the cultivation of Fusly 1243 Rupees 17,469 - 10 - 10 was in the Madura division, and Rupees 5,124 - 1 - 8 in the Dindigul Division.

11 The Principal Collector enumerates seven instances in which in forming the Summabundy for Fusly 1243, "owing to the accidental or perverse deviation of the cultivation from the ordinary practice" it became requisite to adopt an immediate and

arbitrary

arbitrary decision and he proceeds to explain the course followed by him in each case – In the two first instances the decision appears to be correct and proper being in accordance with the practice observed in most other districts – In the case of wet land sown with Poonjah grain the Principal Collector states that it has long been the practice to collect half the wet land tax – The Board observe that this should depend upon the circumstances under which the Poonjah crop was sown – If the cul-tivator having the means of sowing a wet crop chooses to sow his land with Poonjah –

1. – Where a portion of a field only is cultivated remission allowed only when portion not cultivated exceeds 12½ per % –

2. – Cowle waste if left waste thro' neglect of the holder to pay the Cowle tax – if waste from unavoidable or extraordinary causes the tax to be remitted –

3. – At what rate shall wet land sown with Poonjah Grain be assessed –

Poonjah-Grain, the wet crop assessment should be levied. but if from want of water he is obliged to resort to Poonjah cultivation, in that case there appears to be no objection to the assessment of the land at ½ Nunjah teerwah as the Principal Collector states to have been the practice -

At what rate shall Garden land be assessed when sown with the common Poonjah grain

12 The same rule is applicable to the 4th case alluded to by the Principal Collector - where the land ha[s] become incapable of garden cultivatio[n] the Poonjah teerwah should be levied -

At what rate two crops Garden land when one crop only is raised -

13 In the 5th instance Vizt:, when one crop only is raised on 2 Crop Garden lands the Collector states that ½ of the fixed assessment has been usually levied on the

the single crop the Board see no reason to disturb this practice.-

14.- Poonjah or Perum-boke lands when cultivat-ed with wet land or garden produce should be assessed at the same rate as the wet or garden lands of the same quality and possessing the same advan-tages in point of irri-gation in the immediate neighbourhood.-

6th Poonjah and Parambokee lands cultivated with wet land or Garden produce assessed at the tax payable by the adjacent lands as a temporary measure and steps taken to ascertain the actual produce for the next 3 years to form a future basis of taxation.-

15 The 7th case is where Garden land is sown partly with Garden produce and partly with Poonjah Grain. In this case, as in Nos 3 and 4 alluded

7th In this case, the Collector states that the pratice has been "where the cul-tivation in Garden pro-duce evidently exceeded that of Poonjah, to tax at

at the Garden rates where the Poonjah exceeded the Garden cultivation the respective portions to be taxed according to the mode of cultivation alluded to above where the occupant having the means of cultivating Garden produce sows Poonjah grain, the Garden assessment should invariably be levied.

16 The Board approve of the measures adopted by the Principal Collector as stated in para 8 of his report, with the view of preventing the extension of the cultivation of inferior crops on wet and Garden lands.

17 The principal items of remission from the Gross settlement of Fuslees 1242 and 1243 are shewn in the Statement entered below.

Fusly.

	Fasly 1242.			Fasly 1243.		
	Madura	Dindigul	Total	Madura	Dindigul	Total
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
Sharee	7,611 11 4	35,958 14 10	43,570 10 2	5,578 3 9	1,947 9 3	7,525 13
Cowle remission Poonjah	896 3 7	15,074 9 8	15,970 13 3	865 3 1	10,847 .. 8	11,712 3
Nunjah	1,502 14 9	2,418 10 11	3,921 9 8	1,688 13 6	1,541 2 8	3,230 ..
Moonasib Cummee or discretionary remission on account of over assessment	29,173 8 2	50,385 5 1	79,558 13 3	30,974 2 2	53,056 4 2	84,030 6
Kaloos Maufee or original reduction of assessment	.. .. ..	32,788 7 9	32,788 7 9	.. .. ..	31,930 4 4	31,930 4
For changes of Crops	28 10 4	3,527 .. 5	3,555 10 9	35 2 2	3,150 6 7	3,185 8 9

110 223 393

...ree Remissions. 18. The remission for Shurv[...]
Fusly 1242. in the Dindigul division for Fusly
1242 varied from Rupees 11-10-2 to 42-7-
4 per Cent and in the Madura divis[...]
[Sta]tement No 10 from Rupees 1-11 to 4-7-3 per % on
Fusly 1242 the net teerwah of the lands. For the
whole of the Dindigul division the
per Centage was Rs A P 25-13-2, and for the
Madura Division Rupees 3-5-8 as
[Col]umn of remarks [o]f Statement 10. already remarked the failure of rain in
Dindigul was much greater than in
Madura. In the talook of Nellacottat
in the Dindigul division which is chiefly
dependant upon the rains the per
Centage was Rs A P 42-7-4 and in Iyemputy
in the same division which is chiefly
irrigated by river channels the per
Centage was Rupees 12-4-7 and in
the Madura division it appears that
there

there was no Shavee in the Villages or

watered by river channels. Total Shavee - Fusly 1242

In the Madura Division the Madura — 7,611 - 11 - 4

amount deducted for Shavee Dindigul — 35,958 - 14 - 10

was less as before observed 43,570 - 10 - 2

than that of Fusly 1241 by Rupees 52,803 -

6 - 8 In the Dindigul division the remission

on this account exceeded that of Fusly 52,803 - 6 - 8

1241 by Rupees 17,116 - 13 - 2 making a 17,116 - 13 - 2

a net decrease of 35686 - 9 - 6 in the 35,686 - 9 - 6

Shavee remissions for the whole district.

In the Dindigul Division the remissions

were confined to cases where either the

crop was entirely lost or did not yield

more than 1/4 of the average produce.

The amount of the remission granted

in cases of the latter description was

Rupees 11,407 - 13 - 9 on land bearing an

assessment of 11,976 - 11 - 2 - The remainder

of

Total Shavee in Dindigul	35958-14-10	of the Shavee remission in Dindigul Rupees
Amount of Mun-nafuddy	11407-13-9	24,551-1-1 was the
Remainder	24,551-1-1	assessment on land

the crops on which had entirely perished

Vol. No. 14 in 1834 The remission of 7611-11-4 in the Madura division was on crops which entirely failed and was the full assessment on the land.

19 The Acting Principal Collector explains that "the full extent of each individuals Shavee was remitted without reference to the circumstances of the Cultivator, but solely with reference to the actual extent of Shavee" in consequence of his having received the Boards instructions on this point at too late a period of the year to carry them into effect for Fusly 1242.

Report for Fusly 1242 Para 4

Boards proceedings 20th June 1833.

The

20 The Shavee remissions granted in Fusly 1243 were very incon-siderable in comparison with those of the preceding year, the amount being less for the whole District than that granted in Fusly 1242 in the Madura Division alone. The arrangements detailed in Para 14 of the Principal Collector's report, as having been made with a view to ascertain correctly the validity of claims to remission on this account, are approved of with the exception of the order stated to have been given to the Curnums to prepare and keep accounts of the produce of the wet lands of each farmer, the Board think that where the assessment is fixed interference on the part of the Sirkar Servants

Shavee Rem. Fusly 1243 Para 12

Madura	5,578 - 3 - 9
Dindigul	1,947 - 9 - 3
	7,525 - 13 - ..
	7,611

Statements 48

Servants to ascertain the produce is inadvisable, they desire that it may be discontinued — The amount remitted in each division appears to have been with very trifling exceptions the full assessment of the lands the crops having been entirely destroyed —

Moonasib Cummee 2/

The Moonasib Cummee remission amounted in Fusly 1242 to Rupees 79,558-13-3 and in Fusly 1243 to 84,030-6-4, shewing an increase in the former year, of Rupees 6351-4-2 and in the latter of Rupees 4471-9-1 owing to the progressive increase in the extent of cultivation — In the report for Fusly 1242 the Acting Principal Collector states that from personal — enquiries

Fusly 1241	73,207-9-1
— 1242	79,558-13-3
Increase in Fusly 1242	6,351-4-2
Fusly 1242	79,558-13-3
1243	84,030-6-4
Increase in Fusly 1243	4471-9-1

Para 6

enquiries and investigation as to the state & capabilities of the Villages in the Teromungalum Talook of the Madura division on which these remissions have been usually made, he is decidedly of opinion that they are no longer necessary and he proposed to send further information and Statements on this point. These have not been received. Neither is any allusion to the subject made in the report of the present Principal Collector for Fusly 1243. The Board resolve to request that the present Principal Collector will give his attention to this point and state whether the result of his enquiries leads him to concur or not with the opinion expressed by Mr Wroughton With respect to the other Talooks of the

Madura

Madura Division as well as Tirmungalum.

22 The usual reductions in each Fusly in Dindigul under the head of Hooloos Maraffee, do not appear to call for any particular remark

23 The Cowle remissions for Fusly 1242 amounted to Rupees 19,892, and in Fusly 1243 to 14,942 shewing a decrease of Rupees 4,950 in consequence of the progressive increase of the Cowle teerwah upon the lands taken up.

Report Fusly 1243. Para 16

24 The practice alluded to in para 16 of the report for Fusly 1243 of allowing cultivators to occupy waste of long standing without Cowle in exchange for their old exhausted fields may be permitted provided special care

be

600

be taken that they relinquish good and bad land together and not parts of fields.—

25 The explanations submitted in the Report of the Principal Collector for Fusly 1243 on the different items alluded to in paras. 18 to 23 are considered satisfactory.

26 In Para 9 of his report for for Fusly 1242 the Acting Principal Collector has brought to notice the circumstance of four Soodra Ryots enjoying a remission of ¼ of the assessment upon Goontas 321-7 of land in the Tiroomungalum Talook which was formerly held by Brahmins. The full assessment on the land in question is Rupees 351-11-1 of which ¼ or Rupees 87-14-10 is remitted to the cultivators. the demand being reduced to Rupees 263-12-3. Mr Wroughton observes

Statement

that

that "this irregularity has obtained for many
"years (since Fusly 1212) and as the amou[nt]
"is trifling it is perhaps unnecessary to
"interrupt long possession". The Board
do not concur in the propriety of con-
-tinuing this land to the four Cultivato[rs]
on a reduced assessment allowed only [to]
privileged Classes and the longer the
period that they have evaded "
the payment of the proper tax to
Government the less reason have they
to complain of the discontinuance of
the immunity which they have
accidentally enjoyed. Unless therefore
there appears to the present Principa[l]
Collector to be some better reason for
continuing the indulgence to them
than that of long possession the Boa[rd]
desire that the land may be hence-
-forwa[rd]

-forward charged at the full rate of
assessment. The Principal Collector
will report his sentiments on this point.

27 The late Acting Princi-
-pal Collector has also requested sanction Para 10
for the continuance of certain Poonjah lands
in the Mellore Talook, occupied as Gardens
the proceeds of which he states have
been usually diverted to charitable
purposes. It appears from Statement Numbered 18.
No 8 that these lands are in different
villages. No 1 comprizing 1 G. 6½ a. was
excluded from the Survey Accounts as
Ayn Peramboke. No 2 consisting of
5 Goontahs of Poonjah granted in Fusly
1222 by the Zemindar of Vallaputty for
establishing a Charitable Choultry and
flower Garden. the land to pay only
the term teerwah. Rupees 3. 11. 5 the
portion

115 233 603

portion of 1 b 6 a ½ was in Fusly 1212 the site of a Muttom which has now fallen into decay, and fruit Trees have been planted on the land; the Trees being liable to an assessment of Rupees 23-2- The produce of these trees together with an Enam of Nunjah land originally granted for the purpose of defraying the Charges for the accommodation of Travellers is now applied to defray charges for the annual ceremony of the deceased builder of the Muttom then in fact being appropriated to purposes different from those for which it was granted. The Board question whether in this case there is any necessity for continuing the Enam, or exempting the Trees from the usual tax.-

As

28 As regards the grant of 5 Goontahs, a portion has been planted with fruit Trees since the assumption of the Zemindary of Vallaputty, and there appear to be water pundals and a Choultry kept up for the accommoda-tion of Travellers the expences of which are defrayed from the produce of the Trees. The annual tax to which the Trees are liable is Rupees 52-13-1 The Principal Collector recommends that this should be continued on the condition on which it was originally granted, the payment of Rupees 3-11-5 the term teerwah on the lands and considering the length of time it has been enjoyed and the purposes of public utility to which the produce of the Trees is applied,

the

the Board are of opinion that Mr. Woughton's recommendation may be complied with -

29 - The Acting Principal

Para 11 Collector states that he detected in the Villages of the Courcoochee division in the Mellore Talook a fraud which had been practiced for some years by the Oleoody Ryots taking advantage of the remission granted to Pyacarries which he had put a stop to and carried to account the difference Rupees 1703-6-10. It appears to the Board that such a fraud could not have been practiced for so long a period, had there been ordinary vigi-lance on the part of the Tahsildar and District Servants -

31 - It appears that the tanks

in the Madura division have usually been cultivated if they become dry before the Months of February or March - Mr. Wroughton observes that "this mode of cultivation is more "prejudicial than profitable from "the circumstance of the ploughed "land from exposure becoming an "almost impalpable powder to the "depth of two or three inches which "is gradually carried in a cream-like "consistence into the bed of the Tank, "leaving a deposite around the sluices "which requires constantly clearing out" and he states that in accordance with the opinion of the Assistant Civil Engineer he directed that the beds of Tanks should no longer be cultivated as the revenue derived

from

from them bears no comparison with the amount defrayed for the damage which is occasioned by cultivating them. Before the Board pass any orders on this subject they wish to be put in possession of the opinion of the present Principal Collector who will be pleased to forward at the same time a Statement of the actual revenue of the last 5 Years in which these lands have been cultivated, & the sums disbursed for the same period in remedying the evil effects which are pointed out by Mr Wroughton as having been occasioned by the cultivation of them.

31. The Hill rents in Fusly 1242 were Rupees 1457-11-3 shewing a decrease below those of the previous year

years, to the amount of Rupees 3452-14-5 which is explained to have been owing to the two Villages of Poombary and Yettoor attached to the Palany Hills not having been rented as in Fusly 1241, which occasioned a defalcation of Rupees 3096-15-6 the remainder Rupees 355-14- Para 16

Rent for Fusly 1241	
Poombary	1,612-1-11
Yettoor	1,484-13-7
	3,096-15-6

11 was a decrease in the rents of the other portions of the Hills. The Acting Principal Collector reported that the people had suffered greatly for the last few years, from the exactions of the renters, the badness of the seasons and the almost total failure of rain. The ancient renting system he observes appears not to have been very profitable to Government, and it

certainly

118

241 669

certainly oppressive to the people -
The Ryots have expressed a desire
to have an individual settlement and
Report for Fusly 1242 - Para 13 the Board have directed a compli-
-ance with their request - The Acting
Collector proposed to give the rents for
Fusly 1243 to the Ryots themselves - it
does not appear whether this was done,

Para 22 but the report for that year shews
that the whole of the Hills were
rented at an advance of 1378-10-7 above
the amount of the rents of Fusly 1242
the total demand being 2,836-5-10 -
The produce of the Hills which were
not rented in Fusly 1242 was estimated
at Rupees 234 - which was to be brought
to account, when disposed of, under
the head of Extra Revenue -

Of

610

32 Of the total Aumanie revenue amounting to Rupees 8,18,683-13-11 the outstanding balance at the close of Fusly 1242 was

For the Madura Division	5420-4-4
For the Dindigul Division	16070-9-5
Total	21490-13-9

Para 25.

33 The Board consider the state of the collections as above exhibited to be very creditable to Mr. Wroughton's management. On his assuming charge of the District the amount of revenue which remained to be collected for the year including permanently settled and Aumanie was Rupees 6,73,618-10-4 which was realized by the close of the Fusly with the exception of Rupees 1,33,663-1-7 Viz:

up to April 1[illegible]

Permanently

Permanently Settled	1,12,166 - 3 - 10
Aumanie	21,496 - 13 - 9
	1,33,663 - 1 - 7

Therefore

34. Further particulars regarding the Collections on account of these balances, as well as on account of the arrears of former Fuslies alluded to in para. 29 of Mr Wroughton's report, are submitted in the report for Fusly 1243 and will be noticed presently.

35. The total gross receipts from all sources in Fusly 1242 amounted to Rupees 24,66,461 - 6 - 4 and the charges exclusive of advances recoverable, compensation for resumed privileges, Pagoda Allowances &c to Rupees 2,50,065 - 15 - 11 being in the proportion of 10 2/16 per % to the gross receipts.

Report for Fusly 1243. Para. 25.

36. The Collections within Fusly

1243,

1243, on account of the Settlement of the year amounted to Rupees 155,9,144-4-10 leaving a balance of 46068-7-7 of which Rupees 9,688-3-6 were due by Cultivators under the Ryotwary Settlement, which the Collector stated would be recovered by the Month of September and the remainder Rupees 36,380-4-1 was outstanding against the Zemindaries noted in the margin.— Of this balance the greater portion was due by the Sheragunga Zemindar regarding whose arrears of Peshcush the correspondence noted in the margin has passed between the Principal Collector and the

Settlement	16,05,212-12-5
Collections	15,59,144-4-10
	46,068-7-7

+ Note— The Aumanie balance of Fusly 1243 outstanding on the 31st May last was Rupees 277-13-1.— Vide D. C. M. B. Statement.—

Sheragunga	28,000-..-..
Ediacottah	5,254-12-4
Mambaury	1,314-2-7
Gunturnanaickenur	1,811-5-2
	36,380-4-1

From the Prin. Coll. 25th Jany 1835.
From — D°. — 6th May — "
From Board D°. — 18th D°.
From — D°. — 27th May — "
From — D°. — 28th D°.
To Government — 11th June — "
From — D°. — 26th D°.

Board

Board. From the Collector's letter of the 27th May last it appears that the whole of the abovementioned arrears have been paid off.—

37.— Of the remainder of the balance of permanent settlement outstanding on account of Fusly 1243, Rupees 5,254_12_4 were due by the Zemindar of Edaiottah. On account of whose Zemindary is also due nearly the whole of the balance of former fuslies classed as irrecoverable in the 28th para of the report under consideration. The correspondence regarding the Zemindary to which the Principal Collector alludes will be considered separately.—

Para. 30.— 2434 out of a balance of 25471_8_4.

38.— The annexed Memorandum shews the state of the balances due at the close of Fusly 1243_on account

of

of former years to the end of Fusly 1242 distinguishing what portion is deemed by the Principal Collector to be recoverable and what irrecoverable.

	Recoverable			Irrecoverable			Total	
Land Revenue from Fusly 1237 to 1242.	2706	13	1	4566	5	7	7273	2
Peshcush from 1231 to 1242	21521	3	"	25471	8	4	46992	11
	24228	"	1	30037	13	11	54265	14

39. The recoverable balance of Aumanee land revenue noticed above is stated to be in train of liquidation. The Board sanction the adjustment in the Accounts of the item of Rupees 19-4-5 which the Collector states has been already collected — 2706-13-1 — Para. 29.

collected and carried to the head of extra revenue.—

1.— Kilamputty Tope Renters for Fusly 1237/38	2,365— 12— 11	
2.— Nattamgar of Ammatary for Fusly 1241	96— 8— 5	
3.— Mullapandy Shotrium Village	1351— 8— 2	
4.— Munthoo Lalah for Enam Cowle from Fusly 1238 to 1242	18— ..— ..	
5.— Thenkurry Tope for Fusly 1242	129— 2— 3	
6.— Kurnoor Cowle— Do	405— 5— 10	
	4,366— 5— 7	

40.— The amount of Aumanie land revenue considered by the Principal Collector to be irrecoverable comprizes the items noted in the margin.—

41.— The two first items the Collector states to be utterly irrecoverable; "the Renters in the first have been put into "Jail, but being utterly impoverished, so "nothing could be extracted from them.— "The Nattamkar also is utterly destitute".—

Under

Under these circumstances the Board resolve to request that sanction may be granted for writing off these two items, amounting to Rupees 2,162-5-4 from the Accounts.

2,065-12-11
96-8-5
2,162-5-4

42.- With regard to the third item the Board think that the Shotrium should be resumed and settled in common with other Circar Villages, and that the balances should be remitted- They accordingly recommend that measure for the sanction of Government and request authority for writing off the balance Rupees 1,851-6-2.-

3rd Mullapaum Shotrium Vill[age] 1,851-8-2.-

43.- The Board are of opinion that the demand against Munthoo Lalah should be remitted, the assessment having been raised without authority above the rate specified in his Enam Cowle and the Board resolve to request sanction

4.- Munthoo Lalah's Enam Cowle- 18-..-

for

[illegible] requested for writing off Rupees 18.—

for writing off the sum of 18 Rupees outstanding against him on that account.—

44.— There appears to be no reason for the remission of the usual tax upon the Cocoanut Tope at Thenkurry, which is stated to have been held up to Fusly 1242 by the proprietor, without payment of tax either to Government or to the Pagoda, upon the Ground attached to which the Tope is situated. The Pagoda receives a fixed allowance from the Government. The Principal Collector will therefore enforce the levy of this tax from the time when it is stated to have been brought to account by the Sub-Collector.—

Item 6.— [illegible] Rs 405-5-10.—

45.— The last item of Rupees 405-5-10 is the amount of remission allowed by the late Principal Collector in the

Village

Village of Heernoor. The remission tho' not confirmed for the future was to have been allowed for Fusly 1243. The sanction of Government is requested for striking off this balance from the Account. — Proceedings 20th June 1833. Para 17.

46. The total amount for the remission of which sanction is recommended in the foregoing paras. is thus Rupees 4437-3-4.

Item No 1	2,065	12	11
2	96	8	5
3	1,851	8	2
4	18	"	"
6	405	5	10
	4437	3	4

47. In the irrecoverable balance outstanding on account of Peshcush is included a sum of Rupees 930-4-7 against the Zemindary of Sookumpully, which was sequestered and incorporated with the Circar lands so far back as Fusly 1232 — this sum the Board recommend to be written off at once — Balances of Peshcush.

The

122 619

Statt No. 11.- The remainder Rupees 24541-3-9 it has been already observed is outstanding against the Ediacottah Zemindar and has been noticed in the letter lately submitted to Government regarding that Zemindary bearing date the 20th August 1835.-

Trees.- 48.- With reference to the Para 31 remarks contained in the 26th and 27 Paras: of the Boards Proceedings dated the 18th October 1832 regarding the levy of a tax upon Trees in the Madura District growing scattered and singly on the cultivated lands independently of the usual assessment on the lands themselves on which those Trees are grown, the Principal Collector states that

2 6. Annas 8 & 9 Pice per Tree the rate is high in comparison with

with the adjoining district of Trichino-
-poly and seems to check the increase
of Cocoanut trees, but he observers that
he has had no complaint on the
subject and that it would appear
that it was not so much the tax
itself as the indiscriminate levy of it
on unproductive as well as productive -
trees, which is considered open to objection -
In order to obviate this he states that
steps were taken in the Fusly under
review to secure a more faithful return
of the number and nature of the Trees,
and in the Dindigul District 210
Rupees were struck out, and 167 added
to the Accounts - The Collector expresses
his opinion that if this measure be
persevered in, and care taken not to tax
unproductive trees, the people will not

have

have any fair ground of dissatisfaction and promises to report the result of his further experience should it lead him to a conviction of the impolicy of continuing the tax the principle of which he disapproves — The Accounts called for by the Board in the 27th para. of their Proceedings above alluded to are not forwarded, in explanation of which the Principal Collector states that a new survey would be requisite for the formation of Accounts of that description, to be at all satisfactory — As the Accounts do not afford the materials requisite. —

49. — On the further consideration which they have now given to the subject, the Board are of opinion that the tree tax should not be levied on trees not planted in topes but dispersed over the cultivated

lands

lands, and they resolve to recommend that
the Principal Collector may be authorized
to discontinue it accordingly.

50. The Prl Collector has submitted A
a Statement showing the amount which
would be derived from imposing the usual
tax on the trees in the Gardens on the banks
of the Vighay, in which the late Principal
Collector proposed to impose a tax
of Rs as 5-4 per Cawney as quit rent
in lieu of the tree tax. The
total extent of these Gardens is
C. 75-7/4 and the amount of the tax on
the productive trees growing in them
would be Rupees 1,259. 8. The present
Principal Collector suggests that instead
of the quit rent formerly proposed these
Gardens should be assessed at the usual
garden rates which average 5-8-1 per
Cawney

Proceedings 18th Oct. 183[illegible]
Para. 26.
From the Prinl Collr
25th April 1833
Proceedings 20th June

Cawney, being a trifle above the quit rent, and that the tree tax in them should be
Para. 32. — altogether remitted. In offering this recommendation the Principal Collector observes that the land in question has hitherto borne no assessment at all, that what is yet enclosed has been done by inhabitants of the Fort of Madura (petty dealers, Bramins and Merchants) and not by Cultivators, and that it is rare to see other cultivation under the Trees than Flowers for the service of the Pagodas. It is particularly desirable he remarks, for the convenience of the people to encourage the cultivation of the Cocoanut which the adoption of the Garden rates may be expected to effect. He adds that there is much reason to fear that the

land

land would be abandoned if the tax were imposed. – Under these considerations the Board authorize the imposition of the Garden Assessment on these lands in lieu of the usual tax on the Trees. – 5-8-1 per [illegible]

51. – The following Statement shews the Demand Collection and Balance on account of the land and extra sources of revenue in the Madura District for Fusly 1243 as compared with the preceding year – and exhibits an increase in the Collections of the latter Fusly amounting to Rupees 152,998-1-9. –

Fusly

1	Fusly 1242. — Current Demand 2	Fusly 1242. — Current Collections 3.	Fusly 1242. — Current Balance 4.	Fusly 1243. — Current Demand 5.	Fusly 1243. — Current Collections 6.	Fusly 1243. — Current Balance 7.	Comparison between Columns 3 and 6. — Increase 8.	Comparison between Columns 3 and 6. — Decrease 9.
Land Revenue excluding Hill Rent	15,42,835 13 1	14,09,172 11 6	1,33,663 1 7	16,02,376 6 7	15,56,307 15 "	46,068 7 7	1,47,135 3 6	" " "
Salt	2,26,033 2 11	2,26,033 2 11	" " "	2,15,567 7 8	2,15,567 7 8	" " "	" " "	10,465 11 3
Sayer	3,25,633 12 "	2,90,501 " 1	35,132 11 11	3,07,711 8 "	3,00,747 7 10	6,964 " 2	10,246 7 9	" " "
Abkarry	59,410 8 11	44,477 2 1	14,933 6 10	52,746 12 3	49,014 1 3	3,732 11 "	4,536 15 2	" " "
Sundries (Hill Rent & Fish Tax)	11,195 10 11	10,171 9 7	1,024 1 4	11,597 14 10	11,380 14 5	217 " 5	1,209 4 10	" " "
Sea Customs	7,686 15 7	7,686 15 7	" " "	8,658 4 4	8,658 4 4	" " "	971 4 9	" " "
Stamps	13,517 6 "	13,517 6 "	" " "	12,881 15 "	12,881 15 "	" " "	" " "	635 7 "
Total	21,80,313 5 5	20,01,559 15 9	1,84,753 5 8	22,11,540 4 8	21,54,558 1 6	56,982 3 2	1,64,099 4 "	11,101 2 3
Deduct Decrease							11,101 2 3	
Net Increase Collections							1,52,998 1 9	

125

52.— The Board approve of the remarks upon the Sub Collector's report for Fusly 1243 contained in para. 33 of the report of the Principal Collector for that year now under consideration, except in respect to the remission proposed to be extended to the Villages in the Madaroolium Talook in the Division of Madura the consideration of which will be deferred until the Board receive the general report upon the remissions in Madura which is called for above.—

53.— The report upon the management of the extra sources of revenue for Fusly 1243 has been already taken into consideration in the Boards proceedings dated 23rd March.—

54.— The total gross receipts from all sources in Fusly 1243 land and extra

revenue

revenue _ Extraordinary Collections &ca amounted to Rupees 2,694,782 - 4 - 11 and the Charges exclusive of Tuccavy Advance recoverable _ Pagoda Allowances, Pensions to Rupees 329,731 . 5 - 4 being Rupees 12 3/16 per % of the Gross Collections. _

55. The Board have much plea-sure in expressing their approbation of the zeal and industry displayed by Mr. Blackburne in his management of the District for the Fusly under review and they notice with much satisfaction the remark contained in the 27th para of his report, where, in stating the increase which had taken place in the current land revenue collections above those of Fusly 1242, he concludes with the assurance "that the increase in question has been effected without there having been occasion

occasion to sell the property of a single Cultivator, or to commit a single individual to Jail for default – neither had there been occasion for the dismissal of a single Tahsildar, or the imposition of a fine on any one of them for the purpose of enforcing the collection of the current revenue." –

56. – Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honble the Governor in Council and forwarded to the Principal Collector for his information and guidance." –

(A true Extract)

[signature]
Secretary. –

Recd 29th Septr. [illegible]

Compd [illegible] Ritchie

629

126A

127

Revenue Department

201

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir

Para 1 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the Correspondence with the Principal Collector of Madura noted in the Margin reporting upon a petition presented by one Ponnamanl complaining of the discontinuance of an allowance formerly allotted for her Maintenance.—

From the Pl. Collr. 16th March 1834.
To Do. 30th July 1835
From Do. 24th Augt. "

2 The Petitioner in this instance is the daughter in law of Sashamanl who as one of the Widows of

of the late Rajah Ramalingum Saidoobathee of Ramnad, was in the receipt of a Pension of Rupees 31-5-7 per Mensem. On the death of this person in 1824 the pension under a will of the deceased and with the permission of Mr Peter then Principal Collector of Madura, was continued to the Petitioner, but was afterwards resumed by Mr Viveash under date the 26th March 1833 on his discovering that the allowance had been granted without the Sanction of the Board or Government having been previously obtained.—

Altho' the Petitioner has no direct claim to the allowance in question still under all the circumstances of the case and in consideration of the destitute condition

to

to which from the withdrawal of the Pension this dependent of the antient family of the deposed Poligar of Ramnad is reduced, the Board have resolved to submit her claims for the favorable consideration of Government and they accordingly beg to recommend that agreeably to Mr. Blackburne suggestion the Sum of Rupees 15 per mensem from the resumed Pension be continued to the Petitioner Ponnamaul for life, the allowance to have effect from the 1st. instant.

Revd. Bd. Office,
Fort St. George
10th. September 1835

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Secy.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th. September 1835.

Agreeably to the recommendation of the

the Board of Revenue the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant authority for conti:nuing from the 1st Instant to Ponnamaul during her life the sum of /15/ fifteen Rupees per Mensem from the pension enjoyed by her late Mother-in-law, but desires that it may be distinctly intimated to her that the allowance will absolutely cease at her death in order that none of her dependents or relatives if she has any, may seek for the continuance of a portion of it when this event happens, but may in the mean time endeavour to maintain themselves by employment.

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secy.

(True Copies)

To the Pl. Collr. of Madura
with reference to his
letter of the 24th Augt. 1835.

[signature]
Secretary.

[signature] R. Ritchie

Recd 13th Octo. [illegible]

647

129 20th

Revenue Department

To

The Collector of

Madura.

&c.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826, to transmit for your information an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of July last.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

Depy. Secretary.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
5th October 1835.

Recd 19th

Madura –
Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of July 1835. –

No.	Names of the Petitioners	Purport of the Petitions	Orders of the Board. –
567/1835	From Goollamah, the 1st wife of the Fakeer Emam Buckshaw Makandar of the Mosque at Anoopandy Village in the Zillah of Madura. –	Complains that a Subidar has obtained possession of certain Emoluments appertaining to a Mosque to which she is rightly entitled. –	Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Madura who will dispose of this Petition. – 20th July 1835. –
1225/1834	From Coolandavaloo son of Palaneapan Inhabitant of Ammanputtee and Veelanary subject to Sevagunga Zemindary in the Zillah of Madura –	Prays that the present Collector may be instructed to collect the sums alleged to have been embezzled in certain Villages of the Ramaswarum Pagoda as per Takeed issued by the late Collector.	The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to dispose of this Petition by Endorsement. – 27th July 1835. –
1259	From Ramalinga Pillay Curnum of Quarter share of Pundicoody Village subject to Ramnad Zemindary in the Zillah of Madura. –	Complains of having been improperly dispossessed from his hereditary Office of Curnum and prays to be restored. –	Petitioner is referred to the Pl. Collector of Madura who will be pleased to enquire into and dispose of this Petition 30th July 1835. –
527/1835	From Audeemoolum Pillay Meerasy Curnum of Sundala Colum Mungalam &ca. Villages in the Zillah of Madura –	Complains that the Goomastah of his father has fraudulently obtained the Office of Curnum to which Petitioner asserts his right.	The Principal Collector of Madura is requested to enquire into and dispose of the case of the Petitioner 30th July 1835. –

(True Copy)

W. Oliver[?]
Dy Secretary –

Recd 19th

Comp. W. Ritchie

|31|

269

Revenue Department

132

271

Re.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Dated 15th October 1835.

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 1st September 1835 and the Minute of the Right Honorable the Governor therein referred to.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 1st September 1835

Para 7. 8 — 3. The attention of the Board of Revenue will be particularly directed to the observations contained in these Paras and they will strictly prohibit the practice of attaching in the first instance, for arrears, the personal property of Zemindars, instead

of

of the Crops. The Board will also take into consideration the best mode of providing for the maintenance of Zemindars whose Estates are under attachment for arrears caused by adversity of season and who have no independent means of subsistence, and will submit their sentiments on the subject for the information and orders of Government."

Extract from the Minutes of the Right Honorable the Governor dated 30 June 1835.

7 I saw most of the Zemindars in my progress through the Districts of Masulipatam and Rajahmundry. Those whose Estates are under sequestration for arrears complained generally of their impoverishment, and of the difficulty they experienced in providing for their subsistence, since even the produce of the lands in

their

their private Cultivation has been taken on account of Government in liquidation of the balances, and no allowance whatever is made to them. I believe it is strictly in accordance with the Conditions of the permanent settlement that Zemindars should be entirely excluded from deriving any thing from their lands when they are under attachment for arrears, and that the whole produce should be applied without reserve to the discharge of the arrears - but I cannot think it proper or expedient in any view to proceed with the same rigour in cases in which proprietors have been unable to pay their Peshcush in consequence of a failure of produce caused by adversity of season, as in cases of wilful default for which no excuse can be made. In a case of the latter description I conceive it is

better

better to bring the Zemindary to sale at once, than to hold it in attach=ment. But if it is thought fit to hold a Zemindary in attachment, with a view to its restoration eventu=ally when the arrears shall have been recovered from the surplus pro=duce of better years, it is surely advisable to allow something for the maintenance of the Proprietor and his family in the mean time. It is discreditable to Government under such circumstances to leave them in want. Such rigour in fact defeats itself, for the proprietor is compelled to use his influence in the Villages to obtain clandestinely from the Ryots, money which ought to be paid for their Rents."

8.- The Zemindars in Ma=sulipatam complained also of a

practise

134 671.

practice lately adopted there of attaching in the first instance, for arrears, the personal property of Zemindars, instead of the Crops— They urged the disgrace put upon them by having their houses invaded and their families intruded upon by the Collectors Peon in search of personal effects. I think the Zemindars have reason to complain of this proceeding— The defaulter may, if he chooses give up his personal property for sale in preference to his land, but it must be of his own free will. By the Regulations the landed Estate is the security for the Revenue, and it is that alone which the Collector can sell in the first instance of his own authority. Collectors should not alter established usage, without first consulting the Board of Revenue—

I think the Board should be instructed to prohibit the practice - they should also be desired to take into consi-deration the best mode of providing for the maintenance of Zemindars whose Estates are attached under circumstances such as are above adverted to, and who have no inde-pendent means of subsistence."-

Ordered that a Copy of these Paras be circulated for the information and guidance of the Collectors of Zemindary Districts and that the Collectors of Districts in which Ancient Zemindaries are under attachment for arrears be required to submit a Statement shewing whether the Zemindars have Cuntum lands and the value thereof, and whether they have been attached or not with the other

lands

135 277 673

Lands of the Zemindary and whether the Zemindars have other and independent means of subsistence."—

(A true Extract)

Recd 11th Novr JB

[illegible]
Secretary

Compd W. Ritchie

675

Form

136

274

					Arrears			Particulars of Khaeim Beriz &c									Net Collection									Subsistence enjoyed by the Zemindar			
									Beriz					Deduct															
No.	Name of the Zemindary	Name of the Zemindars	Amount of annual Peshcush	Date of attachment for arrears	Ayen	Interest	Total	Fusly	Ayen	Moturpha	Total	Extra Revenue	Total	Balance	Ayen	Moturpha	Total	Extra Revenue	Total	Deduct charges for Sibbundy &c	Net amount credited for Peshcush	Balance	Interest	Total	From his Cummuttum Land	From mafsook &c Villages No.	Amount	In ready money by the Circar
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10.	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28

Statement in this form should be furnished both in English and Teloogoo languages for ancient Zemindaries and those of Minors and also those held under attachment according to the Precepts from the Courts and under management of the Collector.

In preparing this Statement, if any more Columns are required, they may be added.

Comp. W.R.

[illegible signature]
Dy Secretary

Recd 11 Novr. [illegible]

137

281

Re.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Dated 15th October 1835.

"Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 1st September 1835 and the Minute of the Right Honorable the Governor therein referred to.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 1st September 1835.

Para 80 30 The attention of the Board of Revenue in their capacity of Court of Wards will be drawn to the suggestions of Mr. Russell respecting the education of Minors contained in the 154 Para of his

his report on Kennedy, a Copy of which has been already furnished to them, and they will be directed to communicate them to Collectors of Zemindary Districts for their information and guidance."

Extract from the Minute of the Right Honorable the Governor dated 30th June 1835

80. "I cordially agree with Mr Russell that there is no part of a Collector's duty the right discharge of which is of more consequence than the Superintendence of the Education of minors, who from any causes are placed under their charge, and I think his suggestions on this subject point out the proper course to be followed. They should be communicated to the Court

of

of Wards and through them to the Collectors of Zemindary Districts for their instruction and guidance and the Court of Wards should be directed to take every opportunity to impress upon the Collectors the importance of their duty in this matter which it is to be hoped they will take a personal interest in fulfilling according to the wish of Government. It will be incumbent on the Court of Wards to make particular inquiries as to the manner in which it is discharged. In Rajahmundry the young Zemindar of Pettapore is one whose education should be particularly an object of interest to the Collector. In Vizagapatam the education of the young Zemindar of Vizianagram and in Ganjam that of

the

the son of the Rajah of Kemedy will specially engage the attention of the Collectors. In the latter case it will be an object to remove the young Rajah at an early period from the influence of the Women in the Palace, and to assign him a separate residence under proper control.

Resolved that a Copy of these paras and of para 154 of Mr. Russell's report on Kemedy dated 1st November 1834 be furnished to the Collectors of Zemindary Districts for their information and guidance."

(A true Extract)

Rec'd 11th Nov. JJ

J. H. Cochrane
Dy Secretary

Comp. — W. Ritchie

139

Extract from a Report from Mr G. E. Russell late Commissioner at Ganjam to the Chief Secretary Dated 1st November 1834.

Para 154 There is no part of a Collectors duty which is more important than the superintendence of the educations of Minors and the charge of boys like the sons of the rebel Janardana Deo of Sooradah now living under the surveillance of the police at Chicacole who it may be hoped, will by kind and conciliatory treatment be reclaimed from the lawless habits in which their youth has been passed and become useful members of society

Young

young men brought up at the Sudder station, and accustomed to hold frequent personal intercourse with the European authorities and the higher classes of Native functionaries would acquire enlarged views, and imbibe feelings of attachment to the Government, which cannot be looked for under the present system, and what is of even still greater consequence they would be saved from the ruinous debauchery by which so many of the Zemindars have been reduced to a state little short of idiotism. I am of opinion therefore that in all cases where it is not seriously objected to by the family, Minors, whose property is under the charge of the Court of

of Wards should be removed from Home
and brought up and educated under
the direction and Superintendence of
the Collector, whose care it should
be to provide for their being as=
well instructed as circumstances
will admit of, taking pains per=
=sonally to train and form their
Characters and to teach them sound
moral principles, and encouraging
them to make themselves acquainted
with business, so that they may
be able to manage their own=
affairs. For this purpose, when
they are of proper age they should
be allowed to have access to the
accounts of their own states, and
the Collector by frequently conversing
with

with them upon the subject will have opportunities of inculcating good principles of management and of impressing upon them the duty of treating their ryots with kindness and liberality. It may not be possible at first to effect the desired object in every case, but I am convinced that it may be done in many, and eventually in all, supposing those to whom the duty may fall to feel an interest in the undertaking and I know no means more calculated to promote the future peace and prosperity of the Country.

(True Extract.)

Recd 11 Novr 1813

[signature]

Dy Secretary.

Exd [signature]

Re

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 29th October 1835.

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 20th October 1835.

Read the following Extract from Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Relative to the settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of Madura for Fuslies 1242 and 1243. (Here enter 17th September 1835)

Para 1. It is satisfactory to observe that notwithstanding the circumstances of season, the result of the settlement of the Land Revenue for Fusly 1242 exhibits an increase

over

over that of 1241 of Rupees 111,238.—
In that of Fusly 1243 a further
increase of Rupees 60,959 is observable.—

2— The Right Honorable
the Governor in Council approves of
the instructions to the Collector in
the 26th Para of the above Proceedings.—
The principle of granting indulgences
of the kind referred to, to any class
of persons whomsoever has already
been declared open to objection;
and although it is not deemed ex-
-pedient to abolish privileges of this
nature where they are actually en-
-joyed, it is desired that the Board
will be particularly careful with
regard to permitting any increase
in the remissions under this head.—

3— Under the circumstances

stated

stated in Parah 27. the Right Honorable the Governor in Council does not think there is any necessity for continuing the Enam referred to in the concluding sentence or exempting the trees from the usual tax.—

Para 28

4. The grant of 5 Goontahs may be continued on the condition on which the land was originally granted, as recommended by the Board.—

5. The subject referred to in Para 30 is one of importance. It is requested that the report therein called for from the present Principal Collector, with the Board's opinions thereon may be submitted to Government.—

The

701

6\. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant sanction for writing off to Profit and loss the sum of Rupees 4437-3-4 noted in the margin of parah 46, being a portion of outstanding balance considered irrecoverable - as also Rupees 930-4-7, on account of peshcush of the sequestered Zemindary of Chokumpatty. - The Shotrium Village of Mullapaud will be resumed and the balance written off. - The tax on trees over cultivated lands should be discontinued as recommended. -

+ Para 47

‡ Para 48

° Para 49

7\. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes with much satisfaction the favorable testimony

testimony borne by the Board of Re-
-venue to the merits of the Prin-
-cipal Collector Mr. Blackburne in
Para 55, and to the management of
Mr. Wroughton in Parah 33 of their
Proceedings. –

(A true Extract)
(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secy.

To The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing be transmitted to the Princi-
-pal Collector of Madura for his information and guidance – the Prin-
-cipal Collector's attention is particu-
-larly directed to the 5th
Paragraph. –

703

Paragraph.

(True Extract)

Recd 7th Nov. JB

A. Porter
Dy Secretary

Compd W. Ritchie

708

143

Read the following letter

(No. 50)

To

H. Chamier Esqre.

Chief Secretary to the Government

of Fort St. George

Sir,

Revenue

I am desired to acknowledge the receipt of your Letter No. 1142 dated the 15th Ultimo, submitting Correspondence from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue and recommending for sanction, the grant of a proposed Pension of Rs. 100 per mensem to the Widows of the former Zemindar of Shevagunga in the Madura District on the condition that

that one third should lapse to Government on the demise of either of them.—

2 In reply I am directed to express the regret of the Honorable the Governor General of India in Council, that he cannot discover any sufficient ground for burthening the resources of the British Government with a Provision for the maintenance of the Widows in question, who should be left to support themselves by means similar to those they have hitherto had recourse to.—

Fort William
the 5th October
1835.

(Signed) W. H. Macnaghten
Secretary to the Govt. of India

144 R. 297 700

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 3rd November 1835.

Ordered to be Communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Secretary's letter of the 20th August last No. 384.

(Signed) H. J. Chamier
Chief Secretary

(True Copy)
[illegible]
Secretary

To the Pl. Collr. of Madura
With reference to his letter of the 20th February 1833

Recd. 24 Novr. [illegible]

Comp. A. Ritchie

712

145

Re

299

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 10th November 1835.

No. 170 Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under date the 31st October 1835.

Read letter dated the 5th instant, from the Provincial Court in the Southern Division together with its enclosures submitting at the instance of the Acting Assistant Judge at Coimbatore the question whether a suit can be brought against the Collector of Coimbatore, and two of his Revenue officers for the recovery of damages sustained by the

loss

713

loss of water occasioned by the refusal of the Defendants to allow water to flow as usual from a certain Tank of Plaintiffs Village to Plaintiffs land.

1 A suit of the above description it appears was instituted in the Auxiliary Court at Coimbatore by a cultivating Ryot against the Principal Collector and two of his Revenue Officers, but upon a motion being presented by the Government Vakeel on behalf of the Principal Collector the Assistant Judge was induced to refer the question whether under the circumstances stated such suit was sustainable.

2 In this motion the Auxiliary Court was moved to dismiss

146 201 274

dismiss the suit on the grounds that the suit should in the first instance have been preferred to the Collector and that under the orders of the Court of Sudr Udalut of the 21st of April last, the Collector only can take cognizance of disputes regarding the irrigation of land.

3 The Court of Sudr Udalut observe that there has been no alteration of the law as to the liability of a Collector, to be sued for an act done in his official capacity.

4 The letter addressed by this Court to the Secretary to Government in the Judicial Department under date the 21st April last

last, to which allusion is made in the motion filed in the Auxiliary Court appears to have been misunderstood by the Principal Collector that communication contained merely the opinions of the Sudr Udalut that in order to meet the wishes of the Honorable the Court of Directors expressed in the 51st Para of their General letter of the 30th March 1833, boundary disputes involving claims to property situated on the borders of Adjacent Districts, where doubts may arise as to the Court competent to hold jurisdiction, might be made cognizable by the Zillah Court nearest the property the cause of action, and that similar claims falling

under

under the provisions of Regulation XII of 1816 where doubts may arise as to the Collector before whom the claim should be brought, might in like manner be declared cognizable exclusively by the Collector whose Huzzoor station is nearest the scene of the dispute. Neither of these suggestions however for determining the local jurisdiction could take effect without a legislative enactment and a draft of an act containing the requisite provisions was submitted to Government on the 13th of June last, but from the circumstance of the Principal Collector having filed a copy of the correspondence which passed between this Court and

and the Government upon the sub-
ject of claims to property situated
on a disputed boundary, the Court
are led to believe that the Reve-
nue authorities have been directed
to act upon the suggestions contained
in their Registers letter of the 21st
of April last, and lest similar
misapprehension of the object of
that letter should exist elsewhere,
they resolve to submit copy of
these Proceedings to the Chief -
Secretary to Government with a
request that the same may be
communicated to the Board of
Revenue with a view to its being
circulated to the several Collectors
and their subordinates.

Ordered that Extract

from

147A 305 742

from these proceedings be forward-
ed to the Provincial Court in the
Southern Division to be by them
communicated to the Acting Assist-
ant Judge at Coimbatore, and
that copy also be transmitted to
the Chief Secretary to Government
for the purpose of being laid
before the Right Honorable the
Governor in Council.

(True Extract)
(Signed) W. Douglas
Register.

To The Chief Secretary to
Government.

No. 846
Ordered to be commu-
nicated to the Board of Reve-
nue with reference to the order
of Government dated the 22nd
May

May last No. 358, for their information and guidance and that of the Officers subordinate to their authority in the provinces.

With reference to the draft act referred to in the 4th Para of the foregoing letter, it is observed that the draft was submitted to the Supreme Government on the 28th July last, and has not yet been passed into a law.

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secy.

Ordered that the foregoing communication be circulated to Collectors for their information and guidance.

Revt. Bd. Office
Fort St. George
19th November 1835

A. Abdale
Dy Secretary.

Recd 14th Decr /35

Compd. W. Ritchie

726

148

Revenue Department

307

To

The Collector of

Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826, to transmit for your information an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of August last.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

Depy. Secretary.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
12 November 1835.

Recd 23/11

Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of August 1835.
Madura.

Number of Petition	Name of the Petitioner	Purport of the Petition	Orders of the Board
7344 1835 /	From Mooter-olandy Taven residing at Cowdanary Village in the Zillah of Madura.	Prays he may be appointed Nattamkar of his Village.	Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Madura who will be pleased to enquire into the claims of the Petitioner. 27th August 1835.

(True Extract)

[illegible]

Dy Secretary.

Recd 22 Novr /B

Comp. [illegible] Ritchie

149 309

728

150

1772

Revenue Department

311

No.

To
The Principal Collector of
Madura

Sir,

The Supreme Govern-ment having declined to comply with an application for a pension, which was made by this Government in behalf of the 3 Widows of the late Zemindar of Sevagunga as communicated to you under date the 19th of November I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that further exertion may be made

made on your part to induce the present Zemindar to provide a suitable provision for the Petitioners who appear from their address to the Board under date the 23d of June herewith transmitted to be in circumstances of extreme indigence.— No. 597 of 1825

It appears to the Board that as the widows were supported from the Estate during the time that it was under attachment by the Government, it may fairly be inferred although there may have been no express agreement to that effect, that the present Proprietor upon the transfer of the Zemindary to him was bound to continue that provision for the Family of his predecessor.

2 The Board rely

upon

15

upon your using your best exertions
to induce the present Zemindar
to come forward for the support
of the widows as the only means
now left for relieving them from the
state of destitution to which they
are reduced.—

3. You will be pleased
to communicate to the Board the
result of your application on
their behalf.—

Rev. Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
7th Dec. 1835

Recd 14/13

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

A. Phelps [illegible]
Secretary

Comp. W. Hitchins

802

152

Revenue Department

315

To

The Collector of

Madura

Dr.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue with reference to their Circular orders dated 13th July 1826, to transmit for your information an Extract from the Register of Petitions for the Month of September last.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

Depy. Secretary.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
21st December 1835.

Recd 28th
[initials]

Extract from the Register of Petitions for the month of September 1835.
Madura

No.	Names of the Petitioners	Purport of the Petitions	Orders of the Board
79ff 835	From Shevana Row Boojunga Row sons of Sooba Row Monigar of the Pagoda of Seeswarar at Chinnamanoor in the District of Madura	Complains that the office of Monegar held by his father has in his demise been conferred upon a stranger to the exclusion of himself and brother.	Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Madura who will enquire into and dispose of this Petition 21st September 1835.
80	From Palanyandy Son of Moottocaroopen ambalagaram of Velleyacheeoorchy in the Zillah of Madura.	Brings to notice the exaction of one Seenevassa Iyengar.	Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Madura. 28th September 1835.

(True Extract)

Recd 28 Decr [illegible]

[signature]
Dy Secretary.

[signature]
Conyer [illegible]

153

804

மா. இரா. திருவேங்கடசாமி
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
நவம்பர் மாதம் 1983 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
ஏப்ரல் மாதம் 1984 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்.

25-4-84